Identification of lipid derivatives in Hep G2 cells*
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Metabolism of polyunsaturated fatty acids results in biosynthesis of mediators with different physiological effects. These metabolites include prostaglandins, prostanoids, isoprostanes and others that are important signalling molecules and regulate a variety of physiological and pathophysiological processes including inflammation. Prostaglandins and isoprostanes are produced by either non-enzymatic lipid peroxidation or by enzyme-induced peroxidation (cyclooxygenases and lipoxygenases). They are used as biomarkers of oxidative stress. The aim of our study was to assess the effect of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) supplementation with added benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) on Hep G2 cells by using a UHPLC/MS-TOF method. This rapid and simple method was developed for the identification, separation and quantification of 8-isoPGF3α, PGF3α, 8-isoPGF2α and 5-IPF2α in cultured cells. The UHPLC/MS-TOF method was validated. The calculated limit of detection was in the range of 0.16–0.50 ng/mL, precision (% RSD): 1.2–2.1% and recoveries better than 88%. This method empowered qualitative and quantitative analysis of the selected individual prostaglandins derived from arachidonic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid from cell extracts.
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INTRODUCTION

Environmental and occupational exposure to chemicals may induce various diseases in individuals and populations leading to major public health problems. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a large family of toxic compounds generated from the combustion of organic materials, diesel exhaust, and industrial waste. They are widely spread pollutants present in the atmosphere, water and soil.

Some PAHs are classified as human carcinogens, and also elicit a broad spectrum of toxic responses in humans and animals. Exposure to PAH results in a variety of molecular responses in an organism such as enzyme activation, oxidation, and/or signal transduction (Duan et al., 2010; Hanzalowa et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2010; Plei et al., 2010; Teranishi et al., 2010).

Many of these responses are mediated by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)/aryl hydrocarbon nuclear translocator (ARNT) signalling pathway. AhR participates in NF-κB signalling pathways regulating inflammation, immune responses, apoptosis, survival, and probably other functions. This aspect of biological roles of AhR is not clearly described and well known (Kung et al., 2009; Puga et al., 2009; Casado et al., 2010; Quintana & Sherr 2013; Nguyen 2013).

A toxic potential of a chemical is related to its initial interaction with the target cell plasma membrane. Structural and chemical (lipid) composition of the membrane determines the overall rate of uptake of the xenobiotic from the extracellular space. The intramembranous retention of the compound directly influences the nature and rate of partitioning of the agent into intracellular space, where metabolic activation, detoxification, or direct reaction with macromolecules ensues. Binding of toxic substances, particularly those of lipophilic nature, to plasma membranes may result in perturbation of membrane-associated cell-regulatory mechanisms such as transport and activity of membrane-associated enzymes (Davidson et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2010; Eyster, 2007).

Apart from their carcinogenicity, PAHs may exert their negative effects on the human organism by induction of the oxidative stress. Oxidative stress resulting from an imbalance between prooxidants, including reactive oxygen species (ROS), and antioxidants in the organism may affect DNA, lipids, and proteins as well. Lipid peroxidation has two major impacts on the cell. It changes the properties of the cellular membranes, affects their structure and the activity of membrane-bound proteins, and it causes the formation of other reactive intermediates that propagate oxidative stress (Cadet et al., 2010; Circeu & Aw, 2010). Among the markers of lipid peroxidation, isoprostanes are considered to be the most reliable. They are formed by a free-radical attack on arachidonic acid localized in cellular membranes. Currently, 8-iso prostaglandin F2α is the best characterized and the most often studied isoprostane (Brooks et al., 2008; Guichardant & Lagerde, 2009; Milne et al., 2006; Dahl & van Bree men, 2010).

The aim of this research was the evaluation of a rapid method for identification of lipid derivatives of eicosapentaenoic acid: prostaglandin F3α (PGF3α), 8-iso prostaglandin F3α (8-ISO PGF3α), 15-ISO prostaglandin F2α (15-ISO PGF2α), 8-ISO prostaglandin F2α (8-ISO PGF2α), 5-ISO prostaglandin F2α (5-ISO PGF2α), and 8-ISO prostaglandin F3α (8-ISO PGF3α).
taglandin F3α (8-iPGF3α), and of arachidonic acid: 8-iso prostaglandin F2α (8-iPGF2α) and 5-isoprostane F2α (5-IPF2α) in HepG2 cultured cells, using ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry with a time of flight mass analyzer (UHPLC/MS-TOF). Prostaglandin F2α-d₉ (PGF2α-d₉) and 8-iso prostaglandin F2α-d₄ (8iPGF2α-d₄) were used as the internal standards (ISs) throughout.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents.** Prostaglandin F3α (PGF3α), 8-iso prostaglandin F3α (8-iPGF3α), 8-iso prostaglandin F2α (8-iPGF2α), 5-isoprostane F2α (5-IPF2α), prostaglandin F2α-d₉ (PGF2α-d₉) and 8-iso prostaglandin F2α-d₄ (8iPGF2α-d₄) were obtained from Cayman Chemical Company (Michigan, USA). The standards’ concentration ranged between 0.31–5.0 ng/ml when using solutions of analytes (0.31, 0.63, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 5.0). LC-MS grade methanol, ethanol, electrospray calibrant solution, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), eicosapentaenoic acid sodium salt and benzo(a)pyrene, potassium hydroxide, hydrogen peroxide, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Analytical grade formic acid was supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Water (18.2 MΩcm, TOC< 5ppm) was ultra-purified and filtered through a Milli-Q Plus system (Milipore, Bedford, USA).

**Standard solutions.** IsoP stock solutions (5 μg/ml) were prepared in ethanol with 0.1% formic acid and stored in a freezer (–20°C). Standard solutions were prepared daily by appropriately diluting stock solutions with methanol containing 5% formic acid.

**Cultured cells.** Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells used (American Type Cell Culture: Hep G2, HB-8065) were between 10th and 25th passages. Hep G2 cells were cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic solution (100 IU/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin) (ATTC; Gibco). Cells were maintained at 37ºC in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air and were finally seeded into a 6-well plate (Sarsted) at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/well in 2 ml of medium. At every step of the procedure, cell morphology was investigated by an inverted light microscope (Olympus). Cell viability during culturing was assessed with Trypan Blue Exclusion Test. HepG2 cells cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic solution (100 IU/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin) (ATTC; Gibco). Cells were maintained at 37°C in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air and were finally seeded into a 6-well plate (Sarsted) at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/well in 2 ml of medium. At every step of the procedure, cell morphology was investigated by an inverted light microscope (Olympus). Cell viability during culturing was assessed with Trypan Blue Exclusion Test. HepG2 cells were treated with 4 µL of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) was added to the cells at appropriate concentrations in 2 mL of medium. At every step of the procedure, cell morphology was investigated by an inverted light microscope (Olympus). Cell viability during culturing was assessed with Trypan Blue Exclusion Test. HepG2 cells were treated with 4 µL of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) dissolved in DMSO to the final concentration of 40 µM/µL for 24h combined with 1 µL of benzo(a)pyrene dissolved in DMSO to the final concentration of 20 µM/µL (for 2 h). Control cultures received the same concentration of ethanol (the final content did not exceed 0.05% v/v) as experimental cells.

We used nontoxic concentrations for both EPA and BaP (effect on time-dose dependent study not presented). After incubation, the cells and media were collected. Trypsin–0.05 % EDTA solution was used to detach adherent cultures.

**Cytotoxicity assay (MTT — 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide test).** EPA was added to the cells at appropriate concentrations and incubated for 24 h, then 20 µM BaP was added for 2 h. Cells cultured in the medium alone constituted the positive control (100% of growth); cells treated with 20 mM/l of hydrogen peroxide were employed as the negative control. The procedure was done as described above (Pasko et al., 2013).

**Isolation of isoprostanes** was done according to the method proposed by Milne et al., 2006. Prior to extraction, 10 µl of 0.01% BHT was added to each sample to prevent further oxidation. Then, PGF2α-d₉ and 8iPGF2α-d₄ (20 ng/µl) was added to samples as an internal standard. Solid phase extraction (SPE) was done using Bond Elute Plexa cartridges (Agilent Technologies). Next, SPE samples were reconstituted in 200 µl of methanol containing 5% formic acid prior to UHPLC/MS-TOF analysis.

**UHPLC/MS-TOF conditions.** Identification and determination of isoprostanes was performed using an UltiMate 3000 RS liquid chromatography system (Dionex, USA) coupled to a mass spectrometer with a time of flight mass analyzer (MicrOTOF-Q II, Bruker, Germany). Separation of the studied prostanoids was carried out on a Synergi 4u Hydro-RP 80A column (150 × 2.0 mm I.D, Phenomenex, USA) at 40°C. The mobile phase was prepared by mixing methanol and 0.01 % formic acid according to a gradient program (MeOH: 0 min — 20%; 18 min — 100%, 20 min — 20% to 22 min). The flow rate of the mobile phase was set to 0.2 ml/min. For each analysis, 10 µL of sample solution was injected by an autosampler. Electrospray was used as an ion source. The optimized conditions were as follows: nebulizer pressure: 2.0 bar, dry gas: 7.0 l/min and temperature of dry gas: 220°C. The profile spectra were acquired in negative mode in the mass range of 50–1000 m/z with mass resolving power over 18000. Mass calibration was performed using a mixture of 10 mM sodium formate and isopropanol before each run. Data were processed by Data Analysis software (Bruker, Germany) and extracted ion chromatograms of all analytes were plotted using [M-H]⁻ and calculated by IsotopicPattern software (Bruker, Germany).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Development of UHPLC/MS-TOF method**

At the beginning, to identify the studied IsoPs in low quantities the ESI-MS-TOF parameters were optimized using a single variable procedure for all 8 tested parameters of ESI source and mass spectrometer. Obtaining the highest abundance of m/z values corresponding to [M-H]⁻ ions of the IsoPs provided a high sensitivity of the developed method. The best conditions of the tested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Optimal value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End plate offset [V]</td>
<td>–500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary voltage [V]</td>
<td>+4800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer pressure [Bar]</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry gas flow [l/min]</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry gas temperature [°C]</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexapole RF [Vpp]</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS-TOF Transfer time [µs]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre pulse storage [µs]</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Analytical system are shown in Table 1.

The UHPLC separation method was developed based on the chromatographic conditions published by Cao et al. (2008), Taylor et al. (2010) and Dahl et al. (2010). In order to separate the four isoprostanes analyzed (8-iPGF3α, PGF3α, 8-iPGF2α, 5-iPF2α) and the internal standards (PGF2α-d9, 8iPGF2α-d4), the mobile phase composition (ACN, MeOH, 0.01% formic acid and 0.01% acetic acid), flow rate (0.2, 0.3, 0.4 ml/min), column temperature (25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50ºC) and time of measurement (22, 24, 26, 32 min) were tested (for final, optimal condition see: Section UHPLC/MS-TOF conditions). The [M-H]- masses with the defined retention time (Rt) for the studied IsoPs were: 8-iPGF3α \(m/\\zeta = 351.217\pm0.005\) (Rt = 16.3 min), PGF3α \(m/\\zeta = 351.217\pm0.005\) (Rt = 17.1 min), 8-iPGF2α \(m/\\zeta = 353.232\pm0.005\) (Rt = 17.2 min), 5-iPF2α \(m/\\zeta = 353.232\pm0.005\) (Rt = 17.4 min), PGF2α-d9 \(m/\\zeta = 362.289\pm0.005\) (Rt = 17.2 min), 8iPGF2α-d4 \(m/\\zeta = 357.257\pm0.005\) (Rt = 18.0 min). The chromatographic separation of the four IsoPs studied is depicted in Fig. 1.

Validation of the UHPLC/MS-TOF method

The optimized UHPLC/MS-TOF method was validated in terms of specificity, linearity, limits of detection and quantification, precision, recovery and matrix effects. The validation parameters were investigated at the concentration of 2.5 ng/ml, using standard solutions of the tested IsoPs. In order to define the recovery and matrix effects parameters, cell samples were analyzed. The calculated validation parameters are collected in Table 2.

### Table 2. Validation parameters of the UHPLC-MS-TOF method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IsoP</th>
<th>8-iPGF3α</th>
<th>PGF3α</th>
<th>8-iPGF2α</th>
<th>5-iPF2α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOD [ng/ml]</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ [ng/ml]</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slopex</td>
<td>0.0951</td>
<td>0.1553</td>
<td>0.0754</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercepts</td>
<td>-0.0515</td>
<td>-0.0936</td>
<td>0.0171</td>
<td>0.0907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.9294</td>
<td>0.9967</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td>0.9932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% RSD (at 2.5 ng/ml), n=5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intraday</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interday</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% R (at 2.5 ng/ml), n=4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME %  (at 2.5 ng/ml), n=4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 (a). Chromatogram of separation of the four studied IsoPs using UHPLC/MS-TOF method. (b) Chromatogram example of an experimental sample.
using standard solutions of analytes (0.31, 0.63, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 5.0). For each concentration of standard solution there were three injections. Calibration curves were calculated using peak-area ratios (drug/IS). PGF2α-d9 was used as internal standard for 8-iPGF3α and PGF3α; 8PGF2α-d4 was the internal standard for 8-iPGF2α and 5-iPF2α. The concentration of ISs was 20 ng/ml. Calibration curve for 8-iPGF2α is presented at Fig. 2.

**Limit of detection and limit of determination.** Limits of detection (LOD) and determination (LOQ) were obtained experimentally, after the analysis of standard solutions of the studied isoprostanes. Limit of determination was calculated at the base of signal/noise as three and the limit of detection at the base signal/noise as ten.

**Precision.** Precision (% RSD) was investigated at the concentration level of 2.5 ng/ml. Precision of the UHPLC/MS-TOF method (% RSD) was calculated as reproducibility of the determined concentration and estimated by analysis of five separately prepared standard solutions of IsoP. The measurements were repeated on four different days. In this way, intraday and interday precision was evaluated.

**Accuracy (Recovery).** Accuracy of the method was defined in terms of isoprostanes recovery (R %). It was expressed by a ratio of the analytical signal obtained for IsoP which was added to a blank sample before extraction, to the analytical signal obtained for the same studied IsoP which was added after extraction in the same concentration (2.5 ng/ml, including enrichment factor), at n = 4, as the standard solution.

**Matrix effects.** Matrix effects (% ME) were assayed by the post-extraction addition approach. They were evaluated by comparing the mean peak area of the analyte spiked after extraction (into extracted blank sample) with the mean peak area of the same studied IsoP which was in a standard solution in the same concentration (2.5 ng/ml), expressed in percentage, at n = 4. The matrix effect values less than 100% represent ionization suppression and values below 100% reveal signal enhancements.

**Application of the UHPLC/MS-TOF method for cell culture analysis.**

The developed and validated UHPLC/MS-TOF method was then used to identify and determine prostaglandins in HepG2. Qualitative analysis was carried out by comparing the retention time of each IsoP at the chromatogram with the retention time of the reference standard of IsoP and based on the ion mass value [M-H]− of the IsoP. Quantitative analysis was performed using the validation parameters described previously. The isoprostane content was calculated using a calibration curve based on the registered analytical signal (peak area of the IsoP/peak area of the IS). The application of the UHPLC/MS-TOF method enabled the identification and determination of prostaglandin (8-iPGF3α) formed by EPA in human HepG2 cells (at the concentration 4.43 ng/ml) (Fig. 1b).

Due to the strong procarcinogenic potential and reactivity of benzo(a)pyrene metabolites, as well as the susceptibility of polyunsaturated fatty acids to oxidizing, this study was undertaken. According to the available literature, a molecular mechanism of carcinogenesis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) is not clearly understood. Knowledge on isoprostanes’ metabolism and other active fatty acid products still remains incomplete. A mechanism of action wasn’t also clarified for lipoxins, resolvins and other anti-inflammatory derivative polyunsaturated fatty acids. Therefore, studies that could contribute to explaining and revealing the pathomechanism of illnesses involving inflammation are advisable and necessary.

The results of our investigation have shown that supplementation with EPA for 24 h and adding 20 µM BaP for 2 h did not inhibit the growth and proliferation of tumor cell line Hep G2. In the control samples of Hep G2 and in the cells supplemented with EPA, isoprostanes were not found. In the cells supplemented with EPA with addition of BaP, active lipid derivatives were identified. We detected lipid derivatives from EPA-prostaglandin PGF3α and isoprostane 8-iPGF3α as well as from arachidonic acid (8-iPGF2α and 5-iPF2α-VI).

These findings strongly suggest that benzo(a)pyrene acts via cyclooxygenase pathway (formation of PGF3α) and propagates oxidative stress by lipid oxidation. Oxidative stress caused by lipid peroxidation through free radicals is believed to be one of the key factors underlying several acute and chronic diseases, which cause high morbidity and mortality, and oxidative stress has been implicated in the ageing process.

In the typical Western diet, n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) dominate, resulting in the release of pro-inflammatory arachidonic acid-derived metabolites. n-3 fatty acids might have a protective or even therapeutic effect. This may be attributable to their impact on mediators of inflammation. The dietary intake of fatty acids affects production of eicosanoids, which are potent immune mediators being mainly synthesized from eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; C20:5 n-3) and arachidonic acid (AA; C20:4 n-6). Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and EPA are n-3 PUFAs mainly derived from fish oils that competitively inhibit n-6 PUFAs arachidonic acid metabolism, thus reducing generation of the inflammatory leukotrienes and prostaglandins as much as the production of cytokines from inflammatory cells (Gao et al., 2006; Kitz et al., 2010; Sheran et al., 2011).

EPA not only can replace arachidonic acid in phospholipid bilayers but is also a competitive inhibitor of cyclooxygenase, reducing the production of 2-series PGs and thromboxane, in addition to the 4-series leukotrienes. These studies suggest that the beneficial effects of n-3 fatty acids may be mediated, in part, by the anti-inflammatory effects of oxidized EPA (Connor et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2006; Song et al., 2009; Sheran et al., 2011).

While the prostaglandins are produced as a result of cyclooxygenase enzyme activity, isoprostanes are generally thought to form non-enzymatically by free radical-mediated

**Figure 2. Calibration curve for 8-iPGF2α.**
ed peroxidation of arachidonic acid and other unsaturated fatty acids. Separate evidence has suggested that cyclooxygenase activity may also contribute to isoprostane production in selected tissues. Due to the potential role of isoprostanes in the pathogenesis of disease, their cellular signalling pathways and biological effects have been under investigation (Eyster, 2007; Davidson et al., 2012).

Toxicity of aromatic hydrocarbons often involves cellular alternations associated with oxidative stress (Parrish et al., 1998). Although many of PAHs have been shown to interfere with immune responses, the mechanisms underlying immunotoxicity of these compounds are not fully understood (Hwang et al., 2007). Kelley et al. (1997) discovered that benzo(a)pyrene up-regulates cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression in oral epithelial cells. Dendele et al. (2013) observed protective action of n-3 fatty acids on benzo(a)pyrene-induced apoptosis. The authors showed that n-3 PUFA interferes with BaP-induced toxic effect through alterations of H+ homeostasis and inhibition of the N+/H+ exchanger (NHE-1) pathway.

Supplementation of fatty acids with EPA has been beneficial for cell viability, and it remains the subject of future studies. Study of eicosapentaenoic acid derivatives and their physiological role may provide valuable insights into the role of EPA in human physiology and pathophysiology. The identity and activity of key mediators is a crucial issue, and novel intermediates associated with prostanooids, cannabinoid, resolving and endoperoxide pathways are providing new therapeutic opportunities (Eyster, 2007; Sehren et al., 2011; Davidson et al., 2012).

In summary we demonstrate, for the first time, that eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) has an anti-oxidative stress effect under benzo(a)pyrene exposition. Our findings strongly suggest that EPA plays a role in enhancement of the anti-oxidant defence and has a high therapeutic value, and thus should be one of the therapeutic approaches due to its dynamically modulatory properties.
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