The role of serum hyaluronic acid determination in the diagnosis of liver fibrosis
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The common pathway leading to liver fibrosis and cirrhosis is growing deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM). It results from molecular and histological rearrangement of collagens, glycoproteins and hyaluronans. Hyaluronic acid is a chief component of the extracellular matrix of connective tissues and plays the main structural role in the formation of ECM. The most important organ involved in the synthesis of hyaluronic acid is the liver. In this paper the meaning of hyaluronic acid in the diagnostics of liver diseases is discussed. Here, we focus on the described changes of hyaluronic acid concentration in the pathological processes of the liver, including alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver diseases. The results of published clinical studies have shown its high diagnostic sensitivity, which probably enables its application in laboratory diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Liver fibrosis is characterized by the excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix (ECM) components (Bataller & Brenner, 2005). It may result from molecular and histological rearrangement of collagens, proteoglycans, glycoproteins and hyaluronans (Gressner et al., 2010). Progressive hepatic fibrosis leads to cirrhosis associated with hepatic dysfunctions and higher risk of morbidity and mortality (Rossi et al., 2007). Cirrhosis typically slowly develops over the years, when the healthy liver tissue is replaced with a fibrous scar (Bataller & Brenner, 2005). The best known causes of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis are chronic HAV, HBV and HCV infections, alcohol abuse, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, toxic hepatitis, metabolic conditions such as haemochromatosis and autoimmune hepatitis (Rossi et al., 2007). The symptoms of the liver diseases vary with the stage of the disease. Additionally, in the beginning, liver diseases often progress with non-specific symptoms, shared by a variety of disorders. Currently, diseases of the liver are diagnosed through several methods: physical exams, commercial blood tests such as: bilirubin, albumin, aspartate and alanine aminotransferase and gamma-glutamyltransferase. However, the “gold standard” for the diagnosis and assessment of the liver disease severity is still liver biopsy (Bierman et al., 1957; Gitlin & Serio, 1992; Bravo et al., 2001). Unfortunately, the liver biopsy has a number of limitations and disadvantages. Some of the drawbacks of biopsy are associated with its invasiveness and with the risk of complications (e.g. bleeding in the liver, pain around the biopsy area). Furthermore, biopsy results are burdened with a large sampling error, as sampled represents only 1/50 000 of the whole liver tissue (Rossi et al., 2007; Rostami & Parsian, 2013). Nowadays, non-invasive diagnostic tests hold a promise for improved diagnosis of the liver diseases. A perfect biomarker should be suitable for the early diagnosis of the disease, useful in determining the prognosis of the disease, safe, quick and easy to test for. Many studies have shown that hyaluronic acid plays an important role in pathogenesis of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. This review describes and discusses a potential role of hyaluronic acid as a non-invasive biomarker of the liver diseases.

HYALURONIC ACID DEFINITION

Figure 1. Chemical structure of hyaluronic acid.

Hyaluronic acid (hyaluronan, HA) is a high molecular weight glycosaminoglycan (between 1000 and 10000 kDa), which consists of a series of repeated (up to 10000 or more) disaccharides: β-D-N-acetylglicosamine and β-D-glucuronic acid linked through β-1,4 and β-1,3 glycosidic bindings (Fig. 1) (Necas et al., 2008; Kaux et al., 2016). The most important organ involved in the synthesis of hyaluronic acid is the liver. Hyaluronic acid is synthesized in synovial lining cells and hepatic stellate cells (HSC) in a highly controlled process. Enzymes responsible for HA synthesis (the hyaluronic acid synthases) are located at the inner surfaces of the plasma membranes, in particular in the liver cells (Rossi et al.,
Degradation of hyaluronic acid normally occurs by sinusoidal endothelial cells (Stickel et al., 2003) and in addition by reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitrogen species or by a strictly controlled mechanism involving three types of enzymes: hyaluronidase (Hyal), β-D-glucuronidase, and β-N-acetylgalactosaminidase (Necas et al., 2008; Schante et al., 2011; Naor, 2016). The most widely expressed hyaluronidases are Hyal-1 and Hyal-2, which cleave high molecular weight hyaluronic acids into smaller oligosaccharides. Degradation of hyaluronic acid in the organism occurs rapidly, thus its half-life in the blood is about 2–5 minutes (Afdhal & Nunes, 2004; Necas et al., 2008; Schante et al., 2011). Therefore, an elevated serum hyaluronic acid concentration may be related to impaired clearance and degradation by the liver cells (Stickel et al., 2003).

Hyaluronic acid is practically present in every tissue in the mammalian body, such as joints, muscles, skin, the vitreous body of the eye and liver (Schante et al., 2011; Kaux et al., 2016). This molecule exists in various forms: as a free acid in the lymphatic system and blood stream, or bound to the CD44 receptors on the cell surfaces (Schante et al., 2011; Rostami & Parsian, 2013). HA is a chief component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) of connective tissues, but it can be also found in the pericellular and intracellular matrix (Necas et al., 2008; Kaux et al., 2016). This polymer plays a main structural role in the formation of ECM due to its viscoelastic properties, hygroscopic capacities and the diversity of cell processes it controls (Nusgens, 2010; Gressner et al., 2010). HA keeps ECM and ECM components (e.g. collagen and elastin fibers) hydrated and stable. It is one of the most hydrophilic molecules in the human body which can bind water, transport and control tissue hydration and maintain an osmotic balance (Necas et al., 2008; Schante et al., 2011; Kaux et al., 2016). There is also evidence that HA plays a role in mitosis, migration, adhesion, tumor development, cancer proliferation and metastasis. Additionally, some authors suggest that high- and low-molecular hyaluronic acid molecules exhibit opposite activity: high-molecular fragments are anti-angiogenic and anti-inflammatory, while low-molecular fragments are inflammatory, immune-stimulatory and angiogenic (Rossi et al., 2007; Necas et al., 2008).

ALCOHOLIC LIVER DISEASES (ALD)

Alcohol is the most commonly abused substance in the world, in the rich and poor countries alike (Ali et al., 2011). Liver is an organ which is especially exposed to ethanol because, after absorption, over 90% of ethanol is metabolized in the hepatocytes (Bullock, 1990). Alcoholic liver diseases can develop into three forms: fatty liver, alcoholic hepatitis or cirrhosis (Fairbanks, 2012). Chronic alcoholic liver diseases are the major cause of liver transplantations (Naveau et al., 2005). This can be prevented only by detection of fibrosis at an early stage and before the onset of clinical symptoms and liver function decrease (Parkes et al., 2012). One of ways for early detection is the use of non-invasive biomarkers, e.g. hyaluronic acid. Hyaluronic acid serum levels are typically low in healthy individuals, because circulating hyaluronic acid is rapidly removed from the circulation in a receptor-mediated way by the liver endothelial cells. Therefore, liver dysfunction or damage may lead to an increased hyaluronic acid serum concentration. In the study by Stickel and coworkers (2003) a total of 87 subjects underwent ultrasound-guided percutaneous liver biopsy. They were classified into five groups according to histological findings: fatty liver (FL), fatty liver and mild fibrosis (FLF), fatty liver with moderate fibrosis and inflammation (FLI), severe fibrosis and inflammation (SFI) and cirrhosis (C). Hyaluronic acid concentration was measured with a radioimmunoassay kit in the same patients. In this study, the authors had shown that hyaluronic acid concentration was higher in patients when compared to healthy subjects, apart from patients with fatty liver alone, whose level of hyaluronic acid was similar to controls. Moreover, the serum hyaluronan correlated with histological progression of the alcoholic liver disease, reaching the highest levels in patients with alcohol cirrhosis. In addition, hyaluronic acid was elevated in 100% of patients with cirrhosis and AUCROC (the area under the ROC curve) for predicting the perivenular fibrosis equaled to 0.78 (Stickel et al., 2003). These results were in accordance with the Naveau and coworkers (2005) study, which revealed that hyaluronic acid levels increased in parallel with the severity of liver damage expressed in the METAVIR scoring system. They have shown that the hyaluronic acid level was higher for stages F4 and F3 in comparison with stages F2, F1 and F0, but there were no significant differences between stages F2 and F1 or F0. Similar to results obtained by Stickel and coworkers (2003), the authors had proven that the hyaluronic acid has excellent diagnostic values for the diagnosis of alcoholic cirrhosis with AUC equal to 0.93. These results suggest that hyaluronic acid is a good marker for the detection of advanced liver fibrosis. Furthermore, the authors compared hyaluronic acid detection assay with the FibroTest. They had shown that the FibroTest has some advantages over the hyaluronic acid test. Firstly, it has been standardized and secondly, it has higher sensitivity for the diagnosis of moderate-stage of fibrosis. On the other hand, Parkes and coworkers (2012) had compared the diagnostic power of hyaluronic acid and the N-terminal propeptide of procollagen type III (PIIINP), and the main benefit of hyaluronic acid assessment was an ability to detect inflammation in patients (by observing increased HA concentration), while PIIINP level did not allow distinction between patients with inflammation and controls (Parkes et al. 2012). In our previous study we have shown that the AUC of hyaluronic acid in alcoholic cirrhosis was the highest (0.996), ranking just after the non-patented and noninvasive indicator of liver fibrosis – the GAPRI index (Gudowska et al., 2015). Moreover, HA, as a single marker, has a higher AUC than other complex markers: the Forn’s index, APRI, and the FIB-4 score. We also calculated the HAPRI index, which is a strong predictor of alcoholic cirrhosis, similar to the hyaluronic acid alone. Additionally, a correlation study demonstrated an association between severity of the liver cirrhosis (evaluated by the Child-Pugh scale) and the hyaluronic acid serum concentration. According to these studies, the hyaluronic acid concentrations were the highest at the most severe stage of the liver injury (Child-Pugh class C) (Gudowska et al., 2015). Most of the publications suggest that high hyaluronic acid concentration is related to a decreased function and “capillaryization” of endothelial sinusoidal cells responsible for degradation of excess ECM (Deacuc IV et al., 1993; Deacuc IV et al., 1994; Stickel et al., 2011; Parkes et al., 2017).
2012). The “capillarization of the sinusoids” efficiently prevents elimination of hyaluronic acid form circulation (Stickel et al., 2003). Furthermore, studies performed on mice and rat models have shown that alcohol affects this glycosaminoglycan level by modifications of hepatic hyaluronic acid clearance (Deaciu IV et al., 1994). Chronic alcohol consumption may also lead to an increase in interleukin 1 (IL-1), interleukin 6 (IL-6) and the tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α). These inflammatory cytokines may stimulate hepatic stellate cells to produce hyaluronic acid (Stickel et al., 2003).

On the basis of our own experience and literature data we suggest that hyaluronic acid is a good marker for diagnosis and staging of the alcoholic liver diseases. In addition, hyaluronic acid has an excellent diagnostic value for the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis and alcoholic liver cirrhosis. Therefore, as clinical biochemistry quickly develops, and the understanding of hyaluronic acid mechanism of action and clinical potential deepens, the eventual use of hyaluronic acid in clinical practice seems to be assured.

NON-ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE (NAFLD)

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, characterized by accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes, is becoming a major liver disease in the developing Western countries. NAFLD can be divided into non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (Kneeman et al., 2012; Chalasani et al., 2012). A metabolic syndrome and liver transplantation remain the main causes of these diseases. Both of these conditions can progress to a liver fibrosis and cirrhosis (Gitto & Villa, 2016). Therefore, an early detection of NAFLD and distinguishing non-alcoholic and alcoholic chronic liver diseases is very important. To this end Sowa et al. had compared non-invasive markers, including hyaluronic acid, in patients with NAFLD and alcoholic liver diseases (Sowa et al., 2013). They had found that adiponectin and tumor necrosis factor-α were significantly lower, and the ratio of alanine aminotransferase to aspartate aminotransferase was higher, in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease when compared to alcoholic patients with and without liver cirrhosis. Hyaluronic acid concentrations were similar in the liver diseases caused by alcohol and non-alcoholic factors (Sowa et al., 2013). In contrast, hyaluronic acid levels helped to distinguished the non-alcoholic fatty liver from non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Although the patients with NASH had a significantly increased expression of HA, patients with stage 1 of NASH expressed a similar concentration of HA to patients with NAFL. This may suggest that hyaluronic acid is a worse discriminator of NASH and NAFL at an early stage of fibrosis than other markers, e.g. type IV collagen and collagen 7S (Mizuno et al., 2016). Moreover, Chwist and coworkers (2014) reported that the serum level of hyaluronic acid correlates well with the progression (from F0 to F3) and extension of liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD (Chwist et al., 2014). In addition, Dvorak and coworkers (2014) had shown that serum concentrations of hyaluronic acid were higher in patients with advanced fibrosis than in those suffering from mild fibrosis. At a cut-off point of >25 μg/L, hyaluronic acid discriminates patients with stages F3–F4 from those with no or mild fibrosis (F0–F2), with high sensitivity and specificity (90% and 84%, respectively). Hyaluronic acid as a marker of fibrosis was also measured in children with NAFLD (Fitzpatrick et al., 2010; Lebentszeit et al., 2011). Fitzpatrick and coworkers (2010) revealed that its concentration was significantly higher in the NAFLD patients with fibrosis than in controls. Similar results were obtained by Lebentszeit and coworkers (2011) who studied four potential serum markers of liver fibrosis. They discovered that hyaluronic acid and cytokeratin-18 M30 are elevated in children with NAFLD. They had also shown the ability to differentiate NAFLD patients with fibrosis from those without fibrosis (AUC=0.672) at a hyaluronic acid cut-off point of 19.10 ng/mL, and an improved ability of differentiation for the combination of HA with cytokeratin-18 M30 (AUC=0.730). Moreover, Stachowska and coworkers (2013) suggested that a significant difference in hyaluronic acid concentration, as well as predicted advanced fibrosis, occurs in patients with Apo-E4 alleles. Nonetheless, Nguyen-Khac et al. and coworkers (2008) had compared the performance of Fibroscan with seven non-invasive laboratory tests, including hyaluronic acid and Hepascore, which comprised of α-2 macroglobulin, hyaluronic acid, GGT, and total bilirubin adjusted by age and gender. They revealed that the performance of Fibroscan was higher than that of laboratory tests, for which AUROCs ranged from 0.66 to 0.77 (F1), 0.54–0.82 (F2), 0.43–0.88 (F3) and 0.56–0.89 (F4). In addition, combining Fibroscan with each test did not improve its diagnostic utility which can suggest that noninvasive transient elastography used to assess liver stiffness is a better alternative for liver biopsy than serum biomarkers.

These studies indicate that hyaluronic acid level determination can help to quickly evaluate the progression of non-alcoholic liver diseases. Hyaluronic acid is a good marker to differentiate NAFLD patients with fibrosis form those without fibrosis, and to distinguish non-alcoholic fatty liver from non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. However, it’s not an adequate indicator of alcoholic vs. non-alcoholic liver disease.

CHRONIC HEPATITIS C

Up to 80% of hepatitis patients develop chronic hepatitis C (CHC). Chronic hepatitis C infection induces continuous inflammation in the liver, progression of hepatic fibrosis, eventual cirrhosis, and possible hepatocellular carcinoma (Nelson et al., 2011). Most of the studies had shown elevated hyaluronic acid serum levels in chronic hepatitis C. Additionally, few studies found that hyaluronic acid might differentiate the stages of liver damage. HA might be associated with long-lasting chronic liver injury and fibrosis, growing production of extracellular matrix components and dwindling hepatic clearance (Kerner et al., 1996; Haffon et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2007).

Mehta and coworkers (2008) had found that serum hyaluronic acid levels correlated with digital quantification of fibrosis (DQF) and Ishak stages in chronic hepatitis C. They had shown that hyaluronic acid could help to discriminate between intermediate fibrosis (Ishak stages 2–3) and absent/minimal fibrosis (Ishak stages 0–1). Hyaluronic acid assessment has also proven to have better diagnostic power than FIBROspectrum II (a diagnostic panel of three extracellular matrix remodeling markers: α2-macroglobulin, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 and hyaluronic acid). In addition, the proportion of false-positive results in case of hyaluronic acid (33%) was lower in comparison with FIBROspectrum II and YKL-40 (chondrex, human cartilage glycoprotein-39) (Mehta et al., 2008). In turn, Fontana and coworkers (2008) revealed that a 3-variable model (hyaluronic acid, tissue in-
hibit of metalloproteinases-1 and platelet count) is an excellent indicator for estimating the presence of cirrhosis ( Ishak stages >5) in patients with chronic hepatitis C (AUROC – 0.81) participating in “The hepatitis C antiviral long-term treatment against cirrhosis” (HALT-C Trial) (Fontana et al., 2008). They had also shown that this model is significantly better in identifying hepatitis C patients than other published models, but they agreed that the serum fibrosis markers reflect the stage of fibrosis more precisely than the quantity of hepatic collagen.

The significant difference in hyaluronic acid level among fibrosis stages (5-point METAVIR scale) in HCV patients was also estimated by Arain and coworkers (2011), but the negative predictive value was low for a significant liver disease (Arain et al., 2011). Moreover, positive predictive value at the level of 60 ng/mL (only in 15% of the patients) equaled to 85%. Conversely, McHutchison and coworkers (2000) had shown that the hyaluronic acid value <60 ng/mL excluded the presence of cirrhosis or advanced fibrosis with a 99% and 93% positive predictive value, respectively. These authors demonstrated that the concentration of HA determined with a radioimmunoassay is significantly lower in the non-cirrhotic patients and patients with no fibrosis when compared to patients with cirrhosis and fibrosis, respectively. In addition, our previous study had shown that in 39% of cases of non-alcoholic cirrhosis caused by chronic hepatitis C (at the cut-off point of 72 ng/mL), the hyaluronic acid has a very good diagnostic power (positive predictive value – 100%, sensitivity – 77.3% and AUC – 0.884). When hyaluronic acid was combined in the HAPRI algorithm with indirect marker of hepatic fibrosis – INR – the AUROC (0.970) was slightly higher than for HA alone. This suggests that non-invasive algorithms are more useful than a single marker, such as hyaluronic acid level (Gudowska et al., 2015). Valva and coworkers (2011) seems to agree with the fact that combination of a few markers (HA, PIIINP and TGF-β) has greater diagnostic accuracy in recognition of fibrosis compared to a single marker. Furthermore, El-Bassiouni and coworkers (2012) had examined 120 patients with chronic hepatitis C, from which 30 had liver cirrhosis and 30 had hepatocellular carcinoma. They determined the serum HA, platelet-derived growth factor, expression of connective tissue growth factor and transforming growth factor, and observed a significant increase in hyaluronic acid concentration and connective tissue growth factor expression in all patients. These data revealed that both, the hyaluronic acid and connective tissue growth factor may be used as important diagnostic parameters for assessment of hepatic fibrosis.

Therefore, hyaluronic acid has a potential to be used for the detection of chronic hepatitis C, evaluation of fibrosis degree and detection/differentiation of intermediate stages of fibrosis, starting from minimal fibrosis. In addition, it is a good tool to exclude cirrhosis or advanced fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C patients (when hyaluronic acid value is lower than 60 ng/mL).

CHRONIC HEPATITIS B

Chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major world health problem because about a third of world’s population has serological evidence of past or present infections with HBV, including 350 million people with chronic infections. The early detection of HBV-induced liver injury is an important objective, because in some cases HBV-infections progress to cirrhosis and liver failure or liver cancer (Schilsky, 2013; Hsu et al., 2002). It has been suggested that hyaluronic acid may be a good biomarker of HBV infection. Study of Geramizadeh and coworkers (2008) had shown that the serum hyaluronic acid levels correlate with the degree of fibrosis according to the criteria proposed by the Ishak system. Hyaluronic acid reaches the highest concentration in the group with extensive liver fibrosis. In this group of patients, the hyaluronic acid level was about 2-times higher in comparison with stages 3–4 of the Ishak scoring system, and almost 5-times higher than that in stages 0–2. The much higher concentration of hyaluronan in the group with extensive fibrosis or cirrhosis suggests that hyaluronic acid is a precise predictor of the terminal stages of liver damage in patients with HBV (Geramizadeh et al., 2008). Besides the fact that hyaluronic acid has the best diagnostic accuracy (AUROC 0.902) for predicting fibrosis of stages 3 or more, Gumusay and coworkers (2013) had demonstrated a better diagnostic value of an Enhanced Liver Fibrosis test (ELF) in predicting advanced fibrosis. ELF is combination of hyaluronic acid, procollagen III amino terminal peptide and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 and APRI index (combination of platelet count and aspartate aminotransferase). In a study that monitored liver fibrosis in children during IFN-α treatment, hyaluronic acid concentration was significantly lower after 12 months of INF-α treatment in comparison with the level before treatment (Lebensztejn et al., 2006). This suggests that hyaluronic acid may be an important factor allowing to discriminate between patients with liver fibrosis and healthy individuals, and monitoring the treatment of chronic hepatitis B. Additionally, in the study of Li and coworkers (2012) the diagnostic performance of serum hyaluronic acid for predicting significant fibrosis was found to be better than that of laminin. Hyaluronic acid has higher sensitivity (84.2%), specificity (83.3%), positive predictive value (90.6%) and negative predictive value (73.5%) when compared to laminin (71.9%, 80.0%, 87.2% and 60.0%, respectively). On the other hand, when hyaluronic acid was combined with laminin, it increased the positive predictive value (100.0%) and specificity (100.0%), but sensitivity had declined (63.2%). A study that compared eight biomarkers (procollagen III amino terminal peptide and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1, tenasin-C, laminin, matrix metallopeptidase 9, collagen type IV and VI, hyaluronic acid) proved that hyaluronic acid, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 and their combination are the most powerful among the fibrosis markers (Seven et al., 2011).

In summary, hyaluronic acid determination can be used to detect chronic hepatitis B in patients and can illustrate the degree of liver fibrosis. Hyaluronic acid has a very good diagnostic accuracy for predicting advanced fibrosis and may be an important factor to monitor the treatment of chronic hepatitis B.

HIV/HCV COINFECTION

HIV co-infection accelerates progression of liver fibrosis in the HCV-infected patients. Alarming, the highest number of liver-related deaths has been reported in HIV-infected patients co-infected with the hepatitis C virus. The precise assessment of the degree of liver fibrosis is necessary to begin patients’ treatment and therefore it is important to find a perfect marker of liver damage (Benhamou et al., 1999; Salmon-Ceron et al., 2005). Peters and coworkers (2013) had investigated serum hyaluronic acid levels in patients with HIV/HCV.
co-infection and showed that hyaluronic acid concentration was about 7-times higher in patients with liver-related death or liver coma (LRE) when compared to those without. Moreover, the level of hyaluronan had increased substantially prior to developing LRE and was significantly higher than that in healthy people. Peters and coworkers (2008) had presented a view that the increasing level of this polysaccharide increases the risk of hepatic complications. Resino and coworkers (2010) had compared hyaluronic acid diagnostic performance with different non-invasive algorithms: HGM-1 (based on platelet count, aspartate aminotransferase, glucose), HGM-2 (platelet count, international normalized ratio, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase), Forns (gamma-glutamyltransferase, age, platelet count, cholesterol), APRI (platelet count, aspartate aminotransferase) and FIB-4 (age, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, platelet count). The results obtained by these authors revealed that simple hyaluronic acid assessment is a better marker than complex indexes. The AUC increased with the stage of fibrosis, reaching the highest value (0.863) in the last stages of fibrosis. The values of AUC were decreased in mild or moderate fibrosis and advanced fibrosis (0.676 and 0.772, respectively). Moreover, Nunes and coworkers (2005) had compared the diagnostic performance and characteristics of noninvasive markers of hepatic fibrosis including hyaluronic acid in HCV patients with and without HIV infection. They had shown that correlations between hyaluronic acid and other fibrosis markers with the stage of fibrosis were similar in the groups with and without HIV infection.

Taking into account the current literature data, hyaluronic acid has better diagnostic performance than complex algorithms and an increasing level of this polysaccharide in HIV/HCV co-infected patients is associated with the risk of hepatic complications.

### Table 1. Summary of literature review about hyaluronic acid importance for detection, differentiation and staging of liver diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance for:</th>
<th>Type of Liver Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcoholic Liver Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Stickel et al. (2003), Parkes et al. (2012), Gudowska et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>Sowa et al. (2013), Gudowska et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>Stickel et al. (2003), Naveau et al., Gudowska et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Alcoholic Liver Diseases</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Mizuno et al. (2016), Fitzpatrick et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>Sowa et al. (2013), Gudowska et al. (2015), Mizuno et al. (2016), Chwist et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>Sowa et al. (2013), Chwist et al. (2014), Nguyen-Khac et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Hepatitis C</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Rossi et al. (2007), Halfon et al. (2005), Korner et al. (1996), Mehta et al. (2008), Fontana et al. (2008), McHutchison et al. (2000), Valva et al. (2011), El-Bassiouniet al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>Rossi et al. (2007), Halfon et al. (2005), Korner et al. (1996), Mehta et al. (2008), Fontana et al. (2008), Arain et al. (2011), McHutchison et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Hepatitis B</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Geramizadeh et al. (2008), Gumsay et al. (2013), Rostami et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>Geramizadeh et al. (2008), Rostami et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIV-HCV Confection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>Peters et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td>Peters et al. (2013), Nunes et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>Resino et al. (2010), Nunes et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
controls, but only hyperaline acid levels were statistically different between the early and late stages of primary biliary cirrhosis. In addition, hyperaline acid has the highest AUROC (0.720) for the identification of the late PBC.

Crawford and coworkers (2009) had examined patients with C282Y hereditary hemochromatosis and suggested that patients with serum ferritin > 1000 µg/L were at a risk of cirrhosis, but only 40% of patients with serum ferritin > 1000 µg/L were cirrhotic. Therefore, they tried to evaluate the diagnostic utility of other non-invasive fibrosis markers such as hyperaline acid. They had shown that serum hyperaline acid was increased in hereditary hemochromatosis patients when compared with the control group. An elevated hyperaline acid concentration (>46.5 ng/mL) was 100% sensitive and 100% specific in identifying patients with liver cirrhosis. Moreover, among patients with high serum ferritin (>1000 µg/L), hyperaline acid levels were significantly increased in patients with liver cirrhosis in comparison to those without cirrhosis. Summary of literature review about hyperaline acid importance for detection, differentiation and staging of liver diseases is presented in Table 1.

CONCLUSION

Hyperaline acid serum concentrations are elevated in liver diseases associated with fibrosis, but vary in liver diseases of different etiologies. Additionally, hyperaline acid levels rise continuously with the severity of liver fibrosis. The significant increase of hyperaline acid levels in the sera creates the possibility of applying its measurement in the diagnostical views of liver diseases. It may be an additional clinical tool for the evaluation of severity of liver diseases when the liver biopsy is impossible to perform. The measurements of hyperaline acid concentrations could be an excellent indicator of liver fibrosis, because it is an easy to use, simple, quick and non-invasive test.
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