Avian influenza in Poland
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Poland has experienced four episodes of avian influenza (AI) outbreaks over the past two decades. The first epidemic was caused by a low pathogenicity (LPAIV) H7N7 subtype and occurred in fattening and breeder turkeys in 1995. Two waves of H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza (HPAI) took place in 2006 and 2007. In spring 2006, 64 cases of the H5N1 virus were detected, mostly in mute swans. In December 2007, ten outbreaks of H5N1 HPAI were detected in commercial poultry (n=9) and wild birds kept in captivity (n=1). The outbreaks in 2006 and 2007 were caused by genetically similar but clearly distinguishable viruses of the 2.2 clade. In 2013, an H9N2 avian influenza virus was detected in 4 fattening turkey holdings. The virus was low pathogenic and a phylogenetic study has shown a close relatedness to the Eurasian lineage of AIV of the wild bird origin. Neither preventive nor prophylactic vaccinations have ever been used in poultry or other birds. Emergency vaccinations using autogenous vaccine were introduced only to control the H7N7 LPAI outbreaks in 1995. The baseline surveillance for AI in live migratory birds and poultry provides a valuable insight into the ecology of AIV at the wild and domestic bird interface. Passive surveillance is in place of early detection of HPAIV infection in dead or moribund birds.
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INTRODUCTION

Avian influenza (AI) is an infectious and contagious disease of birds. The aetiologic agent is a negative-sense, RNA virus with a segmented genome that belongs to the Orthomyxoviridae family (Cox et al., 2000). Based on the surface glycoproteins, haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA), avian influenza viruses (AIV) have been divided into 16 HA subtypes and 9 NA subtypes that replicate in birds in different combinations (e.g. H1N1, H5N1, H7N7, etc.) (Alexander, 2007a). Recently, H17N10 and H18N11 subtypes have been recognized in bats, but there is no evidence so far that they circulate in birds or other species of animals (Tong et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2013). Viruses commonly found in the wild bird reservoir are in most cases avirulent or cause only mild clinical signs, e.g. reduced body weight (Kuiken, 2013), and are referred to as low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses (LPAIV). Wild birds shed the LPAIV mostly through faeces, and infection via faecal-oral route remains the major mode of transmission to susceptible individuals (Webster et al., 1992). The circulation of LPAIV in wild birds is accompanied by frequent episodes of incursions into domestic populations since phylogenetic studies have shown that AIV detected in wild birds and poultry are often closely related and share common ancestors (Campitelli et al., 2004; Munster et al., 2005). Some of these infections are restricted to single or a few outbreaks and then fade away, while other (e.g. avian influenza H9N2 in the Middle East and Far-East Asia) spread efficiently and become endemic in susceptible populations (Alexander, 2007b; Brown, 2010). LPAIV infections in poultry can be either subclinical or associated with respiratory or digestive tract disorders and in breeder flocks — with a drop in egg production. Mortality in chickens is usually low, whereas in turkeys can be high (Capu a et al., 2000). Of the 16 HA subtypes of AIV detected in wild birds, only a fraction of H5 and H7 subtypes have the potential to mutate and cause high pathogenicity avian influenza (HPAI) — a systemic disorder with a violent clinical course and high mortality rate (Alexander, 2007a). It was shown that conversion from LPAI to HPAI is correlated with the acquisition of multiple basic amino acids (arginine and lysine) at the region between the two HA1 and HA2, called the cleavage site of HA (Garten & Klenk, 2008). All infections in poultry caused by AIV of any subtype fulfilling the in vivo criteria for high virulence laid down in the Terrestrial Animal Health Code of the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), and also all H5 and H7 AIV, irrespective of virulence, are reported to animal health authorities as notifiable avian influenza (OIE, 2013). The actions taken upon detection of H5 or H7 LPAI in poultry are mostly precautionary, since measures (e.g. culling) often pertain to clinically healthy flocks, but the rationale for such procedures is to minimize the risk of mutation from LPAIV into HPAIV. It must be stressed that the division into LPAIV and HPAIV is based on their virulence for chickens and HPAIV can cause subclinical infections in certain species of birds, mostly waterfowl. Although first recognized in 1878 as “fowl plague”, the outbreaks of HPAI in birds have been systematically documented since 1959 and so far more than 30 epidemics have been confirmed (Swayne et al., 2013). Avian influenza raises public attention due to the zoonotic potential of selected viruses of H5, H7, H9 and, more recently, H10 subtypes (Lin et al., 2000; Van Kerkhove, 2013; Abdelwhab et al., 2014; To et al., 2014). Interestingly, there is no clear correlation between the virulence of AIV strains for birds and humans, i.e. highly pathogenic H5N1 AIV causes high mortality in people and so does the low pathogenic H7N9 virus.

Abbreviations: AI, Avian influenza; AIV, avian influenza viruses; HA, haemagglutinin; HI, haemagglutination-inhibition; NA, neuraminidase; LPAIV, low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses.
LOW PATHOGENIC AVIAN INFLUENZA H7N7 IN 1995

The first cases of a laboratory-confirmed AI occurred in Poland in 1995 in meat and breeder turkeys (Minta & Koniecki, 1996). The meat turkeys were usually 3–12 weeks old at the onset of clinical disease, while birds from breeder flocks exhibited problems during both growing and laying periods. The economic losses were mostly associated with the secondary infections and with a long-lasting drop in egg production in layers. The isolated virus was identified by the haemagglutination-inhibition (HI) test as the H7 subtype, whereas an in vitro test (intravenous pathogenicity index, IVPI) determined its low pathogenicity (IVPI=0.0). The archived stock of the virus was recovered two decades later and RT-PCR, coupled with sequencing, enabled more accurate identification and characterization of the virus that was found to belong to the H7N7 subtype. The H7 gene is phylogenetically grouped closely to AIV viruses that were isolated from exotic birds in Europe and USA in 1989–1992. However, it clearly showed a tendency to form a separate sub-clade (data not published). The sequence database is incomplete for the lineage of viruses circulating in the mid-90s of the 20th century and is represented by the two Polish turkey-derived strains of H7N7. The source of introduction of the virus to the turkey population in Poland at that time remained unknown. Control measures applied in the region where the outbreaks were confirmed included vaccinations using an inactivated autogenous vaccine prepared from the index-case virus.

NATIONAL REFERENCE LABORATORY FOR AVIAN INFLUENZA

The perspective of Poland’s accession to the European Union in 2004 was a catalyst for adjusting Polish regulations concerning diagnosis of infectious animal diseases, including avian influenza. The process required much effort and resources, such as re-construction of laboratory infrastructure, including construction of the new laboratory facilities, improvement of diagnostic methods through the development and adaptation of molecular biology techniques, and implementation of systematic surveillance for AIV infections in domestic and wild birds. The laboratory located at the National Veterinary Research Institute, Department of Poultry Diseases in Puławy was officially nominated as the National Reference Laboratory (NRL) for Avian Influenza in 2003. The first surveillance activities co-financed from the EU budget were implemented in 2003, even though a pilot study was carried out a few years earlier (Śmiętanka et al., 2005). Altogether, in 2001–2004 more than 20,000 samples collected from chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, pheasants, ostriches, pigeons and guinea-fowls were tested serologically or virologically, and the presence of antibodies was found in sera collected from single flocks of geese, chicken and turkeys. No active AIV infection was found in poultry.

In 2005, the unprecedented global spread of H5N1 from South-East Asia to Europe accelerated the advent of molecular techniques for the diagnosis of avian influenza. The first RT-PCR methods applied at NRL were based on previously published methods for detection of highly conserved regions of NP and M genes (Domanska-Blicharz et al., 2006). The methods, albeit validated on a limited number of samples, proved to be useful tools for the generic detection of influenza viruses, since not only AIV were detected in the validation process, but swine and equine influenza viruses as well. The transition from two-step conventional RT-PCR to one-step RT-PCR, and more importantly one-step real time RT-PCR methods (Spackman et al., 2002), streamlined the throughput capabilities. Moreover, subtype-specific (H5, H7 and N1 subtypes) real time and conventional RT-PCR were also implemented (Payungporn et al., 2006; Slomka et al., 2007; Slomka et al., 2009) and enabled more detailed characterization of the most crucial AIV strains.

HIGHLY PATHOGENIC AVIAN INFLUENZA H5N1 IN 2006 AND 2007

Large-scale outbreaks of H5N1 HPAI that emerged in Europe at the beginning of 2006 were most likely associated with the harsh weather conditions and a massive aggregation of wild birds in areas along the 0°C isotherm (Reperant et al., 2010). The high densities of wild birds favoured the spread of infection within susceptible populations. The major focus of H5N1 HPAI occurred on the island of Ruegen in Germany, where more than 100 birds were found to be infected (Globig et al., 2009). Further escalation and spread of the epidemic to Poland was only a matter of time and, indeed, the first cases of H5N1 outbreaks were confirmed on March 4, 2006 (Minta et al., 2007). The virus was detected in the city of Toruń in a flock of more than 100 swans, of which four died (H5N1 virus was confirmed by RT-PCR). The viral RNA was subsequently found in 32 asymptomatic swans (all of them were euthanized), while the presence of anti-H5 antibodies was detected by the HI test in about 70% of the birds. Interestingly, positive HI reactions were also found against other H5 subtypes of AIV, mostly H1 (53% of swans) and H9 (21% swans) (Śmiętanka et al., 2008).

The ornithological investigation performed in the flock of swans from Toruń, including evaluation of biometrical features, sex and age profiles and alleged migration routes, had provided an additional dataset for analysis (Włodarczyk & Szeleszcuk, 2008). The flock comprised 66 males and 44 females, and 70% of the birds were adult and in good health condition. Statistical analysis demonstrated a significantly higher body weight in females shedding the virus, but the value of this finding is difficult to assess as other features, such as previous exposure to infection measured by serology, were not taken into consideration in these calculations. The swans from Toruń were part of a population migrating along the Vistula and Notec river valleys which chose the Vistula river valley as their wintering site. Some individuals used to visit regularly this specific location. For example, the ring number of one male provided evidence that the bird previously wintered at that site at least twice. Based on the behaviour of swans, which tend to terminate their movements towards wintering grounds at the turn of November/December of the previous year with very little or no influx of new birds thereafter, the authors concluded that infections with the H5N1 virus in that area might have been present long before the first confirmed detection, but had gone unnoticed.

In addition to the Toruń outbreak, infections with H5N1 HPAI were confirmed in 2006 between March and May in Swinoujście, Bydgoszcz, Grudziądz as well as near Kostrzyn upon the Odra and Warta (Fig. 1) in 64 wild birds: 61 mute swans (Cygnus olor), 1 goosander (Mergus merganser), 1 gashawk (Accipiter gentilis) and 1 grey
of the affected holdings varied from a few birds (backyard flocks) to 385,000 chicken layers. Control measures applied after detection of the outbreaks comprised the culling of birds in infected holdings, movement restrictions, disinfection and a quarantine. Altogether, more than 900,000 birds had been culled, and the costs incurred by the epidemics exceeded 12 mln PLN (Smietanka et al., 2009). Vaccinations of poultry and other captive birds were not performed during the H5N1 epidemics in Poland.

Phylogenetic analysis carried out for all eight genome segments of selected H5N1 isolates has shown that two separate introductions of genetically similar but clearly distinct clades, 2.2.2 (or 2.2 sublineage II) and 2.2.3 (or 2.2 sublineage III), gave rise to the epidemics in 2006 and 2007, respectively (Smietanka et al., 2010). Interestingly, although the outbreaks in Poland in 2007 coincided with the re-emergence of the H5N1 virus in Germany, the common origin of incursions was excluded on the basis of a more in-depth analysis of concatenated coding regions of AIV genomes (Haase et al., 2010).

Several important amino-acid residues have been identified in proteins in H5N1 viruses. The identification of molecular characteristics associated with virulence, host adaptation and drug resistance became an intrinsic part of the diagnostic process. Analysis of all tested H5N1 strains has revealed the polybasic amino acid profile PPGERRKKK*GLF at the HA cleavage site (suggestive of high virulence for chickens), 238Q and 240G residues at the receptor binding site of HA (indicative of preferable binding to α2-3-linked sialic acid, thus showing typical avian-like receptor specificity), the 274H and 294N motif at the NA (typical of influenza viruses susceptible to oseltamivir) and E627K mutation at the PB2 protein (associated with increased replication capacities in mammalian cells) (Smietanka, et al., 2010).

LOW PATHOGENIC AVIAN INFLUENZA H9N2 IN 2013–2014

In the spring of 2013, Poland experienced outbreaks of H9N2 low pathogenicity avian influenza in fattening turkeys in the western part of the country. Sick birds presented decreased feed and water intake, respiratory signs and different (but usually low) mortality rates (Smietanka et al., 2014). The H9N2 subtype of AIV has been widely recognized in wild and domestic birds and infections with this virus have been endemic in poultry in regions from the Middle East to Far-East Asia for more than a decade (Alexander, 2007b; Brown, 2010). Although the H9N2 virus is of low pathogenicity, infections often lead to high economic losses, especially in the presence of concomitant bacterial infections (Pan et al., 2012). The major concern is associated with the zoonotic potential of the H9N2 virus resulting from the human-like SAα2,6 receptor specificity (Imai & Kawao, 2012). So far very few laboratory-confirmed human cases have been reported, but serological investigations have revealed the presence of seroreagents in sera collected from poultry workers in endemic regions and therefore, the virus is thought to pose an occupational risk (Huang et al., 2013).

There was a spatial and temporal association between all detected outbreaks (Fig. 1). Even more importantly, turkeys from 2 out of 4 clinically affected flocks (including the index case farm) were hatched and reared for the first weeks of life in Germany and then moved to Poland, hence raising an assumption that H9N2 outbreaks
in Poland were directly linked to the epidemic that had been present in Germany since at least 2012 (Popp, 2013; Röchen, 2013). Moreover, results of phylogenetic studies clearly suggested that wild birds acted as the primary source of H9N2 virus infection for the population of domestic birds in Europe. Interestingly, a genetically similar H9N2 virus was also detected in October 2013 in a common coot (Fulica atra) in the province of Lower Silesia. Even more importantly, the outbreaks were not related to the ongoing epidemic of H9N2 AI in the Middle East, Central and East Asia. The analysis of molecular signatures in the HA and NA glycoproteins demonstrated the avian-like SAz2,3 receptor specificity (glutamine at position 234 of HA) and features suggestive of a sustained adaptation to gallinaceous poultry (a deletion of 26 amino acids in the stalk region of NA). The index-case H9N2 isolate showed low pathogenicity for chickens after intravenous as well as mixed intraocular and intranasal inoculation, but experimental infection of commercial turkeys resulted in respiratory signs and mortality (Fig. 2). However, the presence of Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale and Bordetella avium DNA was also found by PCR in turkeys from field outbreaks and experimental infection, suggestive of a synergistic effect of H9N2 AIV and other turkey pathogens. The subsequent serological investigation performed in 92 poultry flocks demonstrated the presence of antibodies against the H9N2 subtype only in two additional meat turkey flocks located in the same province as clinically affected flocks. No further cases in poultry were confirmed until April 2014, when the presence of H9 AIV was detected in two turkey flocks in Western Poland (not published).

THE RESULTS OF ACTIVE SURVEILLANCE FOR AVIAN INFLUENZA IN POULTRY AND WILD BIRDS

The serological active surveillance programme that was initiated in Poland in 2003 is mandatory in the European Union since 2005 (Breed et al., 2010). The serological active surveillance performed in the years 2009–2013 on more than 2400 clinically healthy poultry flocks has demonstrated the presence of antibodies to AIV in 8 breeder geese holdings (7 flocks were positive for H5 and 1 flock for the H7 subtype) and 1 breeder duck flock (positive for H5 subtype) (Pikula et al., 2014). The lack of clinical signs together with the open system of breeding suggested transmission of LPAIV from the wild bird population. Indeed, the analysis of avian influenza outbreaks in poultry all over the world has pointed at wild birds as the primary source of the virus. The monitoring initiated in Poland in 2002 in the free-living population of birds has been continued in subsequent years, taking advantage of the collaboration with ornithologists in order to ensure proper sampling, storage and shipment of samples. Results allowed for the identification of interesting hotspots, such as Jeziorsko artificial reservoir in central Poland, an important stopover site for wild birds migrating towards wintering grounds, in which multiple AIV have been isolated over the years, including H5 AIV subtypes, potentially dangerous for poultry. The sampling performed at Jeziorsko in the high-risk seasons (late summer/early autumn) resulted in a relatively high detection rate (3.8%) and enabled identification of interesting events, e.g. the simultaneous detection of three viruses — AIV subtypes H4 and H5 as well as avian paramyxovirus type 4 — in three common teals captured at the same location and time (Smietanka et al., 2012).

Avian influenza viruses circulate also in wild birds from urban areas, which was shown previously in relation to H5N1 HPAIV during the 2006 outbreak in Poland. However, the aforementioned epidemic represented an unusual pattern in terms of AIV ecology, since wild birds are natural hosts for low pathogenicity, but not highly pathogenic viruses. The surveillance for LPAIV carried out in Dutch cities in between 2006–2009 has shown the presence of the virus in 0.5% of birds (Verhagen et al., 2012). In Poland, wild bird sampling for the purpose of LPAIV monitoring in urbanized areas has been focused on the Gdańsk, Gdynia, Sopot area. The surveillance study in Gdańsk provided some interesting data on the co-circulating AIV of H7N7 subtype in mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) (Smietanka et al., 2011). The phylogenetic investigation revealed a close relationship between N7 genes from two viruses detected over a period of 1 year in mallards sampled at the same pond, which suggests that AIV can persist in a resident population of mallards for a long period of time. On the other hand, the very close similarity between HA genes (99.8%) of the virus from city mallards in Gdańsk and an H7 AIV detected in a holding of domestic geese in the Czech Republic possibly provide evidence of a spread of viruses between resident and migratory populations combined with long-distance dispersal and transmission to domestic poultry.

Although Poland has never experienced epidemics in poultry of the magnitudes observed in South East Asia or other countries in Europe, e.g. in Italy in 1999/2000 or in the Netherlands in 2003 (Capua et al., 2000; Stegeman et al., 2004), the situation described in this paper exemplifies the complex nature of avian influenza epidemiology and the importance of wild and domestic bird interface in the ecology of AIV infections.
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