The associations between the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-1 axis, adiponectin, resistin and metabolic profile in children with growth hormone deficiency before and during growth hormone treatment
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This study investigated associations between the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-1 (GH/IGF-1) axis, adiponectin, resistin and metabolic profile in 47 GH-deficient children before and during 12 months of GH treatment. 23 short age-matched children without growth hormone deficiency (GHD) or any genetic or chronic disorders were recruited as controls at baseline. Metabolic evaluation included measurements of adiponectin, resistin, IGF-1, total cholesterol (total-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG), glucose, insulin, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and free thyroxine (free T4) concentrations. The GH-deficient children had significantly higher adiponectin (p<0.05) and total cholesterol (p<0.05) levels, and a significantly lower level of resistin (p<0.05) than the controls. Resistin at 6 months of GH treatment significantly correlated with changes in height SDS in that period (r=0.35) and with the level of fasting insulin (r=0.50), the HOMA-IR (r=0.56) and the QUICKI (r=−0.53) at 12 months of therapy. Adiponectin level at 12 months of GH treatment was significantly associated with changes in HDL-C within the first 6 (r=0.73) and within 12 (r=0.56) months of therapy, while resistin significantly correlated with an increment in IGF-1 within 12 months of treatment (r=0.49) and with total-C at 12 months (r=0.56). Untreated GH-deficient children had higher adiponectin and lower resistin levels than healthy short children without GHD. Adiponectin and resistin levels did not change significantly during the first 12 months of GH therapy. Good responders to GH treatment had a tendency for higher resistin level during GH therapy, which positively correlates with the insulin resistance parameters.
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INTRODUCTION

Several studies have confirmed the link between the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-1 (GH/IGF-1) axis and adipose tissue (Nilsson et al., 2005; Ciresi et al., 2007; Willemsen et al., 2007; Andersson et al., 2009; Vijayakumar et al., 2010; López-Sigueru et al., 2011; Meazza et al., 2014; Orrù et al., 2017; Stawerska et al., 2017). The influence of GH on adipose tissue includes changes in its endocrine function, as well as alterations in body composition (Kuromaru et al., 1999; Sas et al., 2000; van der Sluis et al., 2002; Ciresi et al., 2016; Matsukis et al., 2016; Rothermel et al., 2016). Growth hormone deficiency (GHD) leads to an increased proportion of body fat mass in relation to lean body mass, and results in a cluster of cardiometabolic risk factors (Salerno et al., 2006; Capalbo et al., 2012). GH replacement therapy exerts a number of beneficial effects on height velocity and metabolic profile, but could also lead to impairment in glucose homeostasis due to a decrease in insulin sensitivity (van der Sluis et al., 2002; Willemsen et al., 2007; Vijayakumar et al., 2010; Foster et al., 2014; Rothermel et al., 2016). Adipokines, mainly produced in adipose tissue, such as adiponectin, leptin and resistin, are involved in regulation of the short and long term energy balance, glucose and lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses, and could possibly mediate metabolic effects of the GH/IGF-1 axis (Nilsson et al., 2005; Codoñer-Franch et al., 2015). On the other hand, actions of GH on adipose tissue could modify secretion of adipokines and their concentrations in the blood (López-Sigueru et al., 2011; Meazza et al., 2014; Ciresi et al., 2016; Orrù et al., 2017). Adiponectin, although its mechanism of action is largely unknown, has been inversely associated with insulin resistance, obesity and the metabolic syndrome in both animal models and human studies (Scherer et al., 1995; Maeda et al., 2002; Stefan et al., 2002; Spranger et al., 2003; Reinhr et al., 2004). Reduced adiponectin concentrations in obese patients result from a chronic inflammation of adipose tissue, mediated by tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), which suppresses adiponectin expression (Orrù et al., 2017). Adiponectin also acts as a tumor suppressor factor and as an inhibitor molecule of the immune system, manifests anti-atherogenic action, and is implicated in several inflammatory responses (Wei et al., 2016; Orrù et al., 2017). In contrast to adiponectin, production and secretion of resistin seems to be inversely associated with glucose tolerance and positively associ-
ated with obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus (Steppan et al., 2001; Silha et al., 2003; Yannakoulia et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003). Those associations have been well confirmed in animal models, but in humans, especially in children, they are less clear and require further investigation (Janke et al., 2002; Heilbronn et al., 2004; Codoñer-Franch et al., 2015). In human adipose tissue, in contrast to mice models, resistin is mainly expressed in the non-fat stromal-vascular fraction, consisting of macrophages, endothelial cells, and other immune cells, rather than in adipocytes, and plays an important role in inflammatory processes (Patel et al., 2003; Ronnì et al., 2006; Codoñer-Franch et al., 2015). Several studies have confirmed the role of resistin in obesity-related subclinical inflammation, atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, rheumatic disease, malignant tumors, asthma, inflammatory bowel disease and chronic kidney disease (Kaser et al., 2003; Zou et al., 2005; Fagerberg et al., 2006; House et al., 2006; Guallilò et al., 2007; Konrad et al., 2007; Senolt et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2008; Filikovà et al., 2009).

The aim of this study was to investigate the associations between the GH/IGF-1 axis, adiponectin, resistin and metabolic profile in children with GHD before and during GH replacement therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and design. This was a prospective one-year follow-up study conducted at the Department of Paediatrics and Endocrinology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland, from 2016 to 2018. The study obtained approval from the Bioethics Committee at the Medical University of Warsaw in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants and/or their parents gave written informed consent for participation in the study. The study included 47 children with isolated idiopathic GHD (31 boys and 16 girls) aged 4–16.58 years (mean age 10.3 ± 3.4 years). The diagnosis of GHD was established according to the following criteria of the Polish therapeutic programme of GH treatment for short children with GHD (2015): height below 3rd percentile for sex and age according to Polish growth charts, height velocity (HV) less than −1SD below mean for sex- and age-matched Polish population, delay in bone age, exclusion of other causes of short stature than GHD, peak GH concentration less than 10 ng/ml in a test of spontaneous nocturnal growth hormone secretion and in two standard GH-provocative tests. Maximum growth hormone release (GH max) in a particular patient was defined as the highest concentration of GH in any measurement of the three tests (median 7.78 ng/ml, interquartile range 5.79–8.77). Bone age was evaluated using the Greulich and Pyle method (Greulich et al., 1959). Puberty status was evaluated according to the Tanner scale (Tanner, 1962). GH was administered subcutaneously, once daily, at bedtime. Median GH dose was 0.18 mg/kg per week both in the first 6 months of GH treatment and in the whole first year of therapy. Twenty-three short children (14 boys and 9 girls) matched for age (mean age 10.5 ± 3.6 years; range 4.58–16.58), without GHD or any genetic or chronic disorders, were recruited from among children referred for assessment of short stature and were used as controls at baseline.

The auxological and metabolic evaluations were performed at baseline in both the GH-deficient children and in the controls, at 6 months of GH treatment in 33 GH-deficient children and at 12 months of treatment in 20 GH-deficient children. Height, weight and body mass index (BMI), calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters, were expressed as standard deviation scores (SDS) according to the standards of the Institute for Mother and Child, Warsaw, Poland (Palczewska et al., 2001). Height was normalized for chronological age, while weight and BMI were normalized for height-age. Baseline HV was calculated based on data from the period of 6–18 months before the initiation of therapy.

Biochemical measurements. Metabolic evaluation included measurements of fasting concentrations of adiponectin, resistin, IGF-1, total cholesterol (total-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG), glucose, insulin, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and free thyroxine (frec T4) performed at baseline and after 6 and 12 months of GH treatment.

Concentrations of adiponectin and resistin were measured in blood serum by enzyme immunoassay – ELISA test (Mediagnost). The lipid profile parameters (total-C, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG) were determined in blood serum using Vitros 5600 analyzer (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics). Concentrations of TSH and fre T4 were measured in blood serum by immunoassay method using ARCHITECT Analyzer (Abbott Diagnostics). Concentrations of fasting glucose were determined in blood serum by glucose oxidase colorimetric method using Vitros 5600 analyzer (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics). Concentrations of HbA1c were measured in whole blood by ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using D-10 Haemoglobin Analyzer (BIO-RAD). Concentrations of fasting insulin and IGF-1 were measured in serum by immunoassay using IMMULITE 2000 Xpi Analyzer (Siemens).

The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) were calculated at baseline and at 6 and 12 months of GH treatment, based on the concentrations of fasting glucose (mg/dl) and fasting insulin (µU/ml) (Singh et al., 2010). The HOMA-IR was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{glucose (mmol/L)} \times \text{insulin (µU/ml)}}{22.5} \times \frac{1}{\text{glucose conversion factor: mmol/L=mg/dl} \times 0.05551}
\]

The QUICKI was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{QUICKI} = \frac{1}{\log \text{insulin (µU/ml)} + \log \text{glucose (mg/dl)}}
\]

Statistical analyses. The analysis of the results was performed using Statistica 13.1. Data were reported as means with standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile ranges (IR), as appropriate. Data normality was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. The differences between the GH-deficient children and the controls at baseline were checked using the T-test for parametric data and the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric data. Comparisons between baseline and treatment parameters in the GH-deficient children were performed using repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test for parametric data and using the Friedman test with post hoc comparisons for non-parametric data. Correlation analyses were performed using the Pearson correlation test for parametric data and the Spearman correlation analysis for non-parametric data. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.
Results

The comparison between the GH-deficient children and the controls at baseline is presented in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the children with GHD and the controls in age, height SDS, weight SDS, BMI, pubertal age, and other anthropometric and biochemical parameters evaluated parameters. Taking into consideration the baseline pubertal status, baseline adiponectin and resistin concentrations did not differ significantly between the prepubertal and pubertal children, either in the GH-deficient children or in the controls (data not shown). In further analysis, the data were not analysed according to the baseline pubertal status in the prepubertal and pubertal patients because of the small number of children in such subgroups.

Characteristics of anthropometric and biochemical parameters in the GH-deficient children during GH treatment are shown in Table 2. After the initiation of GH treatment, as expected, height SDS (p<0.001) and IGFBP-3 concentrations (p<0.05) significantly increased, as early as after the first 6 months of therapy. Weight SDS and BMI SDS normalized for height-age did not change significantly during GH treatment. There were no significant changes in the concentrations of adiponectin, resistin, total-C, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, TSH and free T4 during GH treatment when compared to the baseline values. Fasting glucose concentrations significantly increased at 12 months of GH treatment (p<0.05) when compared to the baseline values. Fasting insulin concentrations significantly increased as early as after the first 6 months of GH treatment (p<0.05), then reached a plateau, and were still significantly higher than baseline at 12 months of treatment (p<0.05). Those changes resulted in a significant increase in the HOMA-IR and a significant decrease in the QUICKI calculated at 6 months of GH treatment (p<0.05 vs. baseline values for both). HbA1c concentrations significantly increased at 6 months of GH treatment (p<0.01), and then reached a plateau at 12 months of treatment (p<0.01 vs. baseline values).

Associations between baseline adiponectin and resistin concentrations and baseline anthropometric and biochemical parameters in GH-deficient children and controls

Baseline adiponectin and resistin concentrations were not associated with age, bone age or any of the evaluated baseline anthropometric or biochemical parameters, including GH deficit (GH max), in the GH-deficient patients. In the controls, adiponectin concentrations significantly negatively correlated with weight SDS and BMI SDS normalized for height-age (r=-0.50, p<0.05, r=-0.47, p<0.05, respectively), baseline HV (r=-0.43, p<0.05), baseline HbA1c concentrations (r=-0.45, p<0.05) and significantly positively with baseline free T4 concentrations (r=0.55, p<0.05). Baseline resistin concentrations in the controls were not significantly associated with any of the evaluated parameters.

Associations between adiponectin and resistin concentrations and anthropometric and biochemical parameters in GH-deficient children during GH treatment

After the first 6 months of GH treatment, in contrast to adiponectin concentrations, resistin concentrations
significant positive correlation (r=0.35, p<0.05) with changes in the height deficit in that period (∆ height SDS6 months - baseline = height SDS6 months - height SDSbaseline).

There were no significant associations between adiponectin or resistin concentrations assayed at 6 months of GH treatment and nutritional status (weight SDS or BMI SDS normalized for height-age), IGF-1 concentrations at 6 months of treatment or an increment in IGF-1 during the first 6 months of GH treatment (∆ IGF-16 months - baseline = IGF-1baseline). There were also no significant correlations of adiponectin or resistin concentrations at 6 months with lipid profile parameters, glucose homeostasis parameters, TSH or free T4 concentrations assayed at 6 months of GH treatment and nutritional status (weight SDS or BMI SDS normalized for height-age), IGF-1 concentrations at 6 months of treatment or an increment in IGF-1 during the first 6 months of treatment (∆ IGF-16 months - baseline = IGF-1baseline).

DISCUSSION

The GH/IGF-1 axis, apart from its role in linear growth promotion, exerts a number of metabolic effects, such as the influence on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, energy balance and body composition (Lanes et al., 2001; Møller et al., 2009; Meazza et al., 2014; Isgaard et al., 2015; Matsuk et al., 2016; Rothermel et al., 2016; Berryman et al., 2017). Children with untreated GHD usually have worse metabolic panel when compared to healthy controls and suffer from increased body fat mass and decrease in resting metabolic rate. However, there was a significant negative correlation between resistin concentrations at 12 months of GH treatment and an increment in IGF-1 concentrations during 12 months of GH treatment (∆IGF-112 months - baseline = IGF-1baseline) and with total-C concentrations (r=0.49, p<0.05; Fig. 1; r=0.56, p<0.05, respectively).

Figure 1. Correlations between resistin concentrations at 12 months of GH treatment and an increment in IGF-1 concentrations during 12 months of GH treatment (r=0.49, p<0.05).
creased lean body mass associated with increased peripheral inflammatory markers, osteopenia and impairment in glucose homeostasis and lipid metabolism (Salerno et al., 2006; Decker et al., 2010; Capalbo et al., 2012; Meazza et al., 2014; Ciresi et al., 2016). Adipose tissue is known as an important target for the GH/IGF-1 axis. Its endocrine function could be partially modulated by the GH/IGF-1 axis and adipokines derived from adipose tissue possibly mediate some metabolic actions of GH, such as the influence on energy balance and glucose and lipid metabolism (Kershaw et al., 2004; Trayhurn et al., 2006; Berryman et al., 2011; Meazza et al., 2014; Ciresi et al., 2016; Rothermel et al., 2016; Berryman et al., 2017). Several studies indicate that in patients with GHD, both children and adults, the adipokine profile could be impaired, and GH replacement therapy could be beneficial, but the reported results are discordant (Ciresi et al., 2007; Nozue et al., 2007; Andersson et al., 2009; Ciresi et al., 2016; Oświęcimska et al., 2017; Stawerska et al., 2017). Concentrations of adiponectin seem to be unaffected in children with untreated GHD (Ciresi et al., 2016). GH replacement therapy leads to increased adiponectin concentrations in some patients (López-Siguero et al., 2011), while in other patients adiponectin concentrations are unchanged or only slightly modified (Ciresi et al., 2007). Resistin concentrations in untreated GH-deficient children are usually higher than in healthy controls, but the effects of GH treatment on resistin concentrations are divergent (Nozue et al., 2007; Meazza et al., 2014; Ciresi et al., 2016; Stawerska et al., 2017).

Our results showed that the GH-deficient children had higher baseline adiponectin and lower baseline resistin concentrations than healthy short children without GHD or any genetic or chronic disorders, who were included as controls. Those differences were significant despite a tendency in the GH-deficient children for higher weight SDS and BMI SDS normalized for height-age than in the controls. Taking into consideration the baseline pubertal status, we did not find any significant differences in the baseline adiponectin and resistin concentrations in the prepubertal and pubertal children, either in the GH-deficient children or in the controls. In further analysis, the data were not evaluated according to the baseline pubertal status in the prepubertal and pubertal patients because of the small number of children in such subgroups. In our opinion, this is a limitation of our study, especially due to the fact that, to the best of our knowledge, the number of studies examining adipokine profile in prepubertal vs. pubertal GH-deficient children is very small, and most authors only report results in prepubertal children. We did not find any correlations of the baseline adiponectin and resistin concentrations with age, bone age or any of the evaluated baseline anthropometric or metabolic parameters in children with GHD. In contrast to those observations, in the controls adiponectin concentrations correlated, as expected, significantly negatively with nutritional status, but also with baseline HV and baseline HbA1c concentrations, and significantly positively correlated with baseline free T4 concentrations.

The discrepancy in the results of different studies evaluating the adipokine profile in untreated patients with GHD could be a result of varied metabolic panel reported in those children by several authors, who indicate that not all children with GHD have an impaired metabolic profile (Gleeson et al., 2007; López-Siguero et al., 2011; Meazza et al., 2014; Ciresi et al., 2016; Stawerska et al., 2017). Data concerning changes in the lipid profile, glucose homeostasis and thyroid function were also divergent (van der Sluis et al., 2002; Andersson et al., 2009; Smyczynska et al., 2010; López-Siguero et al., 2011; Ciresi et al., 2016; Giavoli et al., 2017; Oświęcimska et al., 2017; Stawerska et al., 2017). The study by Stawerska et al. (2017) confirmed that the baseline metabolic profile in GH-deficient children was not homogenous, and was better in GH-deficient children with low IGF-1 bioavailability expressed as the insulin-like growth factor-1/insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 (IGF-1/IGFBP-3) molar ratio. In that group of children, weight, insulin and triglyceride concentrations were significantly lower, and ghrelin and adiponectin concentrations were significantly higher than in GH-deficient children with higher IGF-1/IGFBP-3. The authors suggest that a better metabolic profile in the group of GH-deficient children with low IGF-1 bioavailability may be the result of the influence of high adiponectin and ghrelin concentrations on adipose tissue, glucose uptake and the orexigenic axis. They also found that, in comparison with a control group consisting of healthy children with normal height and weight, GH-deficient children before the initiation of GH therapy had similar adiponectin concentrations, significantly higher TG and significantly lower resistin concentrations (Stawerska et al., 2017). On the other hand, an earlier study by López-Siguero and coworkers (López-Siguero et al., 2011) reported that children with GHD had significantly higher baseline adiponectin concentrations than healthy, normal height, age- and sex-matched controls, but in linear regression analysis after the elimination of the influence of BMI SDS this difference disappeared. The authors also found that serum resistin concentrations were similar in GH-deficient children and in the controls. After the initiation of GH treatment they observed that in GH-deficient children adiponectin concentrations did not change significantly within the first 12 months of treatment, while resistin concentrations significantly decreased at 6 months of treatment, but then increased and did not differ significantly from baseline values at 12 months of GH therapy (López-Siguero et al., 2011).

In our prospective, one-year follow-up study, after the initiation of GH treatment, we did not observe any significant changes in serum concentrations of adiponectin or resistin, in lipid profile and thyroid function parameters, but there was a significant increase in fasting glucose and insulin concentrations, with a concomitant increase in HbA1c and the HOMA-IR, and a decrease in the QUICKI. We found a positive association between resistin concentrations at 6 months of therapy and an increase in height SDS within that period. Simultaneously, we noticed that resistin concentrations at 6 months significantly positively correlated with fasting insulin and the HOMA-IR and, as expected, negatively correlated with the QUICKI at 12 months of therapy. After the first 12 months of GH treatment, resistin concentrations significantly positively correlated with an increment in IGF-1 within 12 months of therapy and with total-C concentrations. Our observations indicate that although adiponectin and resistin concentrations did not change significantly during the first 12 months of GH treatment, good responders to GH therapy were at a higher risk of disturbed metabolic profile, especially impaired carbohydrate metabolism, which could lead to insulin resistance.
Ciresi and coworkers (Ciresi et al., 2016), who evaluated one-year effects of GH treatment on selected adipokines, such as resistin, visfatin, leptin and omentin, and on lipid profile and glucose metabolism, reported that at baseline there were no significant differences in metabolic parameters between the GH-deficient children and the healthy short age-, sex- and BMI-matched controls, except for higher resistin and LDL-C concentrations and lower visfatin concentrations in the GH-deficient children. They found that at baseline, resistin concentrations correlated with BMI and LDL-C in the GH-deficient children. Baseline adiponectin concentrations were positively associated with the ISI Matsuda and the QUICKI, and negatively correlated with fasting insulin and the HOMA-IR, but those correlations were not confirmed in a multivariate analysis. After the first 12 months of GH treatment in the GH-deficient children, adiponectin and resistin concentrations did not differ significantly from baseline values, in contrast to the QUICKI values and leptin and LDL-C concentrations, which decreased significantly, and the HOMA-IR, visfatin and fasting insulin concentrations, which increased significantly at 12 months of treatment. Non-significant correlations with metabolic parameters were found for adiponectin and resistin concentrations at 12 months of GH treatment (Ciresi et al., 2016). In contrast to the above-mentioned, long-term studies, Nozue and coworkers (Nozue et al., 2007) investigated the effects of one-month GH treatment on serum resistin and free fatty acids (FFA) concentrations in children with GHD, and found that resistin concentrations significantly increased after that period, whereas serum FFA concentrations did not change significantly. Also, the authors did not observe any significant changes in BMI, TG, total-C, fasting glucose and HbA1c levels and leukocyte counts. The authors conclude that elevation in resistin concentrations observed after 1 month of GH treatment was not associated with the GH-induced lipolysis, and suggest that it might be partly explained by production of leukocyte-derived cytokines (Nozue et al., 2007). On the other hand, Andersson and coworkers (Andersson et al., 2009), who investigated short- and long-term effects of GH treatment on adiponectin concentrations in children with GHD, found that adiponectin levels decreased significantly after 1 week, 3 months and 12 months of therapy, and that the decreases in adiponectin concentrations after 3 months and 12 months were associated with the first-year growth response to GH treatment and no correlations between adiponectin and insulin levels or insulin resistance were found (Andersson et al., 2009).

The above-mentioned studies, as well as our results reported in this paper, confirm mutual dependencies between the GH/IGF-1 axis and the endocrine function of adipose tissue, but simultaneously indicate that the mechanisms of those associations are not clear. Further longitudinal investigations, involving large cohorts, are needed to establish the exact mechanisms of the influence of the GH/IGF-1 axis on adipokine profile, which may differ in short-time and long-time observations. The baseline metabolic profile, including adipokine profile, differs in children with GHD. During GH replacement therapy, some associations between the GH/IGF-1 axis, selected adipokines, lipid parameters, and carbohydrate metabolism parameters in particular, could be observed. Good responders to GH replacement therapy seem to have a tendency for higher resistin concentrations during GH treatment, which positively correlates with insulin resistance parameters, such as fasting insulin and the HOMA-IR. Better knowledge of those mechanisms could help to use the changes in the adipokine profile after the initiation of GH treatment to select GH-deficient patients who are at a higher risk of disturbed metabolism during GH replacement therapy. The influence of pubertal status should also be taken into consideration, but knowledge of the effect of puberty on mutual associations between GH/IGF-1 axis and adipokine profile is very limited.
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