Phosphatidic acid – a simple phospholipid with multiple faces*

Jolanta Zegarlińska, Magda Piaścik, Aleksander F. Sikorski and Aleksander Czogalla

Laboratory of Cytobiochemistry, Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

Phosphatidic acid (PA) is the simplest glycerophospholipid naturally occurring in living organisms, and even though its content among other cellular lipids is minor, it is drawing more and more attention due to its multiple biological functions. PA is a precursor for other phospholipids, acts as a lipid second messenger and, due to its structural properties, is also a modulator of membrane shape. Although much is known about interaction of PA with its effectors, the molecular mechanisms remain unresolved to a large degree. Throughout many of the well-characterized PA cellular sensors, no conserved binding domain can be recognized. Moreover, not much is known about the cellular dynamics of PA and how it is distributed among subcellular compartments. Remarkably, PA can play distinct roles within each of these compartments. For example, in the nucleus it behaves as a mitogen, influencing gene expression regulation, and in the Golgi membrane it plays a role in membrane trafficking. Here, we discuss how a biophysical experimental approach enabled PA behavior to be described in the context of a lipid bilayer and to what extent various physicochemical conditions may modulate the functional properties of this lipid. Understanding these aspects would help to unravel specific mechanisms of PA-driven membrane transformations and protein recruitment and thus would lead to a clearer picture of the biological role of PA.
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### INTRODUCTION

Phosphatidic acid (PA) is a minor component of biological membranes (about 1% of phospholipids) (Buckland & Wilton, 2000). Nevertheless, its presence is critical due to the multiple roles played by this lipid within the living cell. For example, PA is a central element in synthesis and turnover of glycerophospholipids and is essential in numerous cellular functions, such as vesicular trafficking, signal transduction, cytoskeletal organization and cell proliferation (Yang & Frohman, 2012; Carman & Henry, 2013; Pleskot et al., 2013). Most of these tasks are accomplished via binding of a broad range of effector proteins and thus through regulation of their functions. PA is also able to remodel membranes by changing their physicochemical properties when it is locally concentrated, which can also affect protein functionality (Yang et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2012).

Phosphatidic acid is the simplest membrane glycerophospholipid. However, despite its simple chemical structure, the nature of the lipid itself is much more complex. Its head group charge can be altered by various environmental components, including those that undergo spatial and temporal fluctuations within a cell (Fig. 1). Numerous PA-binding proteins of various functions and cellular localizations have been so far identified in yeast, plant and mammalian cells (Testerink & Munnik, 2005; Stace & Ktistakis, 2006). Although the exact character

**Figure 1. Impact of different conditions on phosphatidic acid (PA) protonation state.**

In the center the molecule of PA carries one negative charge at physiological pH. Increasing pH or bilayer concentration of PE (see Fig. 3) causes deprotonation of PA (top). Proteins with basic amino acid residues (Arg, Lys) bind to PA through the hydrogen bond switch mechanism, increasing the negative charge of PA (right). Binding of calcium to PA is pH-dependent. When PA is carrying one negative charge, one molecule of calcium binds two molecules of PA. When PA carries two negative charges, it binds with calcium in a 1 to 1 ratio (bottom).
of PA–protein interactions is still not fully resolved, it seems that at least for some PA effectors a high specificity over other negatively charged phospholipids present in the membrane could be observed (Burger et al., 2000; daCosta et al., 2004). Moreover, the sensitivity of PA to changes in the aqueous compartment that surrounds the lipid bilayer (e.g. pH or local concentration of divalent ions) can modulate the way proteins interact with this lipid. PA plays a dual function for its partner proteins, as it may not only anchor them in the membrane but also modulate their activity (e.g. enzymatic activity). Hence, it is obvious that selectivity is required to allow a particular PA molecule to bind a specific protein at a certain time and in a particular membrane region. Thus, the issue is how the specificity of PA effectors is achieved. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge about the relationship between the local cellular conditions and the properties of PA in the context of the lipid membrane and how it may influence interactions of this lipid with proteins.

**DIFFERENT PA SOURCES**

PA synthesis within a cell occurs in several pathways, driven by different sets of enzymes. Because of the different substrates, cellular localization and metabolic pathways that those enzymes are involved in, it is obvious that their products are not identical in terms of their structure, behavior, and impact on cellular functions. In general, cellular PA could be divided into various pools: for example a structural one, where it functions as a precursor of glycerophospholipids and triacylglycerol, and the PA pool that plays a role as a component in signaling pathways (Fig. 2A) (Liu et al., 2013). However, most probably, the functional cross-talk between different pools of PA occurs to a large degree. In mammalian cells, structural PA is mainly synthesized de novo through two successive acylation reactions. Enzymes that take part in these pathways are two acyltransferases: glycerol-3-P acyltransferase (G-3-P AT) and dihydroxyacetone phosphate acyltransferase (DHAP AT). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) produced through these reactions is transformed in the second acylation step into PA (Ammar et al., 2014). PA produced through these pathways can be further converted to either diacylglycerol by phosphatidic acid phosphohydrolase (PAP), such as lipin-1 (Han & Carman, 2010), or to CDP-diacylglycerol by CDP-DAG synthetase. Both products act as sources for further synthesis of phospholipids and triacylglycerols (Vance & Vance, 2004). In yeast and plant cells, PA can be phosphorylated to diacylglycerolpyrophosphate (DAGPP). This phospholipid was identified for the first time in the yeast mitochondrial membrane (Kolesnikov et al., 2012). Lysophosphatidic acid acetyltransferase (LPAAT) can be found in the ER isoforms 1–3 and in the outer mitochondrial membrane (Coleman & Lee, 2004) (Gonzalez-Baro & Coleman, 2017). PA can be also transferred between different cellular compartments. The Nir2 protein mediate PA transport from plasma membrane to ER (Kim et al., 2016). Ups1 in complex with the Mdm35 protein transfers PA from the outer to the inner mitochondrial membrane (Connerth et al., 2012).

**Figure 2. Cellular pools of PA**

(A) Major biosynthetic pathways of structural and signaling PA pools in mammalian cells. Shaded area indicates pathways that lead to formation of signaling PA; (B) Cellular distribution of major proteins involved in synthesis and transport of PA. Four isoforms of glycerol-3-P acyltransferase (G-3-P AT) has been identified: 1 and 2 in mitochondria, 3 and 4 in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Wendel et al., 2009). Dihydroxyacetone phosphate acyltransferase (DHAP AT) is localized in ER, mitochondria and peroxisomes (Hajra, 1997). Ten isoforms of diacylglycerol kinase (DGK) were described so far which are distributed along various cellular compartments (Shulga et al., 2011). Phospholipase D1 (PLD1) is mainly localized at intracellular membranes, such as endosomes, lysosomes, and Golgi, whereas phospholipase D2 (PLD2) is mostly found at the plasma membrane, and mito-PLD at the outer membrane of the mitochondria (Kolesnikov et al., 2012). Lysophosphatidic acid acetyltransferase (LPAAT) can be found in the ER isoforms 1–3 and in the outer mitochondria membrane (Coleman & Lee, 2004) (Gonzalez-Baro & Coleman, 2017). PA can be also transferred between different cellular compartments. The Nir2 protein mediates PA transport from plasma membrane to ER (Kim et al., 2016). Ups1 in complex with the Mdm35 protein transfers PA from the outer to the inner mitochondrial membrane (Connerth et al., 2012). Identification of PA in animal cells; PLD1 and PLD2, with two splicing variants for PLD1 (PLD1a and PLD1b) and three for PLD2 (PLD2a, PLD2b and PLD2c). The structural analysis of both variants showed that they possess a highly conserved sequence, which is duplicated in all canonical PLDs: the HKD motifs (HxxxDxD) critical for enzymatic catalysis (Frohman & Morris, 1999). However, only PLD1 contains a “loop domain”, which is thought to be involved in auto-inhibition (Sung et al., 1999a; Sung et al., 1999b). The most recently discovered isoform is a mitochondrial PLD (MitoPLD), which has only one HKD motif, thus comprising half of the catalytic site, which means that it has to dimerize to be active, and it does not possess any of the classical membrane binding domains (such as PX or PH) that all of the other PLD family members have. The protein anchors into the mitochondrial membrane by its N-terminal sequence (Ammar et al., 2014). Other pathways of PA generation in the cells are phosphorylation of diacylglycerol (DAG).
through the activity of DAG kinases (DGK), and acylation of lysoPA through the action of LPAAT. Also, for these enzymes different isoforms were characterized (ten for DGK and six for LPAAT in mammals cells) (Coleman & Lee, 2004; Shulga et al., 2011; Gonzalez-Baro & Coleman, 2017). Signaling PA produced by these enzymes is involved in numerous cellular processes, e.g. membrane and vesicle trafficking, cell survival and proliferation or cytoskeletal organization.

As a result of the variety of substrates and metabolic pathways described above, different species of PA may vary in length and saturation level of their fatty acyl chains. For example, since PLDs process mostly the cellular pool of PC species, their activity preferably results in generation of PA containing one saturated (e.g. palmitoyl-) and one unsaturated (e.g. oleoyl-) acyl chain. These variations are important as they may be at least partly responsible for the differences in the PA-effector interactions, in analogy to sphingomyelin-interacting transmembrane proteins (Contreras et al., 2012). Indeed, it has been shown in three different but well-characterized PA protein sensors (Spo20 and Opi1, both originating from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and mammalian PDE4aA1) that each of them interacts with PA-enriched lipid bilayers exhibiting differences in acyl chain length and saturation level (Kassas et al., 2017). Thus, the differences in molecular architecture between different species of PA may affect the biological activity of the lipid. Remarkably, a similar phenomenon was also described for diacylglycerols (Nadler et al., 2013). These data clearly show that acyl chain composition strongly influences the lipid-protein recognition. Some hints regarding this issue come from the mTOR signaling pathways that are modulated by PA. It has been revealed that PA is required for the stabilization of two mTOR protein complexes: mTORC1 and mTORC2 (Toschi et al., 2009; Foster, 2013). Active mTORC1 stimulates protein synthesis and cell growth by phosphorylation of multiple proteins, including ribosomal S6 kinase (S6K1). On the other hand, catalytically active mTORC2 phosphorylates a different set of substrates, including a well-characterized Akt kinase (Oh & Jacinto, 2011; Laplante & Sabatini, 2012). More detailed investigation of the issue showed that different PA species may not be equal in terms of mTOR activation. PA derived from the PLD pathway activates both mTOR protein complexes, whereas PA produced in the glycerolipid synthesis pathway inhibits mTORC2 complex formation (Zhang et al., 2012). Not only the PA derived from different enzymatic pathways, but also PA obtained by different types of PLD has different effect for activating/inhibiting the appropriate effector. Brefeldin-A ADP-ribosylated substrate (BARS) is required in one of the first steps in fission of transport vesicles formed by the COPI coatamer (coat protein I). It has been shown that induction of membrane curvature by BARS is dependent on PA (Yang et al., 2008). However, only PA synthesized by PLD2, and not by PLD1, exhibited such activity. PA synthesized from DAG through DGK also did not have any influence on COPI vesicle formation. Thus, the presence of PA generated by PLD2, together with BARS, is essential for vesicle formation. It was shown that depletion of PLD2 inhibited the transformation of Golgi tubules into vesicles (Yang et al., 2008). These differences may actually be related to the discrete intracellular localization of the enzymes (Fig. 2B), since PLD1 is mainly localized in intracellular membranes, such as endosomes, lysosomes, and Golgi, whereas PLD2 is found mostly in the plasma membrane (PM) (Liscovitch et al., 2000; Freyberg et al., 2001; Selvy et al., 2011; Kolesnikov et al., 2012). Moreover, intracellular transporters, such as Ups1 in yeast, which mediates PA transport between outer and inner mitochondrial membranes, contribute to localization of PA. Ups1 assembles with Mdm35, which stabilizes the lipid transporter (Connerth et al., 2012). Transfer of PA between the plasma membrane and ER is facilitated by Nir2 (Kim et al., 2016). In cells with depleted Nir2, activation of PLC leads to PA accumulation in plasma membrane. It is worth mentioning that PA transported to ER serves as a precursor for PI synthesis (through CDP-DAG pathway) and then PI is transferred from ER to PM by the Nir2 protein (Kim et al., 2016) (Fig. 2B). Interplay between local production of PA within membranes of various composition, fatty acyl chain configuration of the lipid and its biological activity still remains an open question.

HEAD GROUP CHARGE AND HYDROGEN BOND FORMATION BY PA

The extraordinary nature of PA stems from its ability to change its protonation state within the physiological pH range. The electric charge of an acid can be predicted from the pKₐ values of its head group and local pH changes. Thus, its electrical charge can be estimated from the Henderson-Hasselbalch (H-H) formula (Kooijman & Burger, 2009). The phosphomonoester displays two pKₐ values: pKₐ₁ and pKₐ₂ equal to 3.2 and 7.9 respectively. Exhibiting these two pKₐ values, PA also shows the unusual ability to form intramolecular hydrogen bonds. However, these features are only relevant in the context of bilayers composed of PC. Under physiological conditions, the ionization of PA is thought to be sensitive to the molecular organization of the lipid membrane, in particular to the presence of other lipids, such as PE or cholesterol (Kooijman et al., 2005a; Kooijman & Burger, 2009), and thus formation of hydrogen bonds is affected (Fig. 3). The deprotonation effect of the PA monoester head group, observed in PC:PE mixed bilayers, is caused by intermolecular hydrogen bond formation. In contrast to PC, which possesses a quaternary amine, PE has a primary amine, which makes it a much better hydrogen bond donor (Kooijman et al., 2005a). Moreover, the arrangement of the PE and PC head groups in the membrane differs, the PE head groups being more parallel to the membrane surface (Langner & Kubic, 1999). This intermolecular hydrogen bond between two membrane lipids will destabilize the intramolecular hydrogen bond within the phosphomonoester head group, decreasing the second dissociation constant (to 6.89 ± 0.05).
Fig. 4. Features of selected proteins that interact with PA. (A) Ribbon diagram of FRB domain of the mTOR protein (PDB ID: 1AUJ), Arg2109 directly involved in PA recognition is shown as a ball model. (B) Ribbon diagram of Ups1-Mdm35 complex, with Lys58 of Ups1 critical in PA binding shown as a ball model, and bound PA molecule shown as a surface model. (C) Examples of most broadly studied phosphatidic acid binding proteins with binding regions as sequence stretches, residues directly involved in PA recognition are in bold (Fang et al., 2001; Loewen et al., 2004; Nakanishi et al., 2004; Watanabe et al., 2015).

This issue is of high physiological importance due to the fact that in different cellular compartments (i.e. membranes of various composition) PA may interact with its effectors in different ways. For example, in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), PA is surrounded by PC at a level over 50%, while in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane phosphatidyserine (PS) and PE are present at much higher concentrations (Kooijman & Burger, 2009). This increased deprotonation of PA by PE was found to increase association of the Yas3p protein (an Op1 family transcription repressor) with PA (Kobayashi et al., 2013). Similar PE-assisted modulation of PA was observed while studying Spo20 (Horchani et al., 2014), a protein from the SNARE family that mediates spore formation in yeasts.

Changes in composition of the water phase that surrounds the lipid bilayer are also highly influential in regard to the PA head group. PA has been described as a cellular pH-biosensor, the protonation state of which may fluctuate in response to slight changes in pH (Fig. 1). Thus, the binding of its effectors is pH-dependent. This was proposed for the transcription repressor Op1, one of the PA-binding proteins in yeasts (Young et al., 2010). The protein is sequestered at the ER membranes, where it interacts with PA. When PA is depleted or when the intracellular pH decreases, Op1 migrates to the nucleus, where it regulates transcription of various genes, especially INO1, which is responsible for inositol synthesis (Carman & Henry, 2013). Under glucose starvation, cytoplasmic acidification occurs (pH decreases to around 6), which stimulates Op1 release from the ER due to increased protonation of PA. Thus, the lipid mediates translation of intracellular pH fluctuations into changes in localization of the transcription repressor (Young et al., 2010). Alterations of the PA molecule itself also had an influence on the binding characteristics. Methyl-PA, which has a methyl substituted on the phosphate, has only one pK value. The binding specificity of Op1 to the modified PA remains unaffected, but the binding is not that strong and is pH independent within the tested pH range (Young et al., 2010). Another example of all of these phenomena is lipin-1, which binds with high specificity to PA in a pH- and membrane composition-dependent manner (Eaton et al., 2013). Lipin-1 is a phosphatidic acid phosphatase, which is involved in the de novo synthesis of phospholipids and triacylglycerides. However, to fulfill its catalytic function, it has to translocate from the cytosol to the membrane, where it specifically binds to PA. Lipin-1 preferentially binds to di-anionic PA, meaning that such interactions occur preferably in the presence of hydrogen-bond donor molecules (PE or amphiphilic amines) and are dependent on pH (Eaton et al., 2013).

Moreover, the PA head group charge can be also influenced by proteins. The number of identified PA effectors is large, but no distinguishable binding domain or structural motif responsible for interactions with this lipid has been described so far. Nevertheless, it may be concluded that PA-interacting proteins have some common features (Fig. 4). All of the best studied PA binding partners, e.g. Op1 (Loewen et al., 2004), Spo20 (Tritarelli et al., 2004), and Raf-1 kinase (Ghosh et al., 2003), have clusters of basic, positively charged amino acids in their PA-binding sites (Ghosh & Bell, 1997; Testerink & Munnik, 2005; Kooijman & Burger, 2009) (Fig. 4C). Thus, one would think that the interactions between PA and arginine/lysine residues would be solely electrostatic in nature, but also hydrogen bonding occurs, which altogether assures the specificity towards PA. This phenomenon has been called the hydrogen bond switch model and was proposed for the first time by Kooijman (Kooijman et al., 2007). Arginine and lysine residues possess amine groups in their side chain that are strong hydrogen bond donors and are prone to interact with membranes containing singly charged PA. At first, a protein probes the membrane until it finds PA and interacts with it by means of electrostatic attraction. Upon shortening the distance between the protein and the lipid, a hydrogen bond is formed and a proton dissociates from the phosphomonoester group of PA. This event switches the head group charge from +1 to −2 (Fig. 4C) and makes the electrostatic attraction stronger (Kooijman et al., 2007). Substitution of lysines and/or arginines with alanines usually blocks protein binding to the PA-enriched membrane, proving the importance of these residues for specific binding to this lipid. Op1 can be given as an example of such occurrence. Amino acids responsible for the Op1–PA interaction are Lys136, Lys137, Arg5138 (Fig. 4C). Substitution of these residues with alanine would severely impair the interaction.
caused loss of pH sensitivity in the range of 6.4–7.6 (Young et al., 2010). This means that only direct interactions between PA and its effector are pH dependent and confirm that presence of Arg and/or Lys residues is essential for such interactions. However, it is not necessary for the protein to have both types of residues within the binding domain. On the other hand, these two amino acid residues are not equal in terms of influencing the head group of PA, since arginine seems to be a weaker hydrogen bond donor. This difference is a consequence of the differences in the chemical structure of the side chains of both residues, and a bond formed with Arg is longer (by approx. 0.1 Å) than a bond formed by Lys (Kooijman et al., 2007). Detailed analysis of the PA binding proteins shows that the presence of Arg and Lys is essential but not sufficient for stable interaction with the lipid. Development of a synthetic, tetravalent PA-binding motif, described as PAB-TP (phosphatidic acid-binding tetravalent peptide), showed that tryptophan, as well as histidine residues are required for stabilization of such interactions. This synthetic peptide contains an amino acid stretch with the sequence MARWHRHHH and is able to bind to phosphatidic acid present in lipid bilayers at a concentration as low as 1% (Ogawa et al., 2015). All of these amino acid residues seem to play an important role in natural PA receptors – for example, the FRB domain of mTOR, which binds with high specificity to PA (Veverka et al., 2008).

The hydrogen bond switch model also explains PA recognition via proteins over other negatively charged lipids, e.g. phosphatidylethanolamine. This lipid also carries a negative charge and its concentration in biological membranes (particularly in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane) is relatively high, yet PA binding partners either do not recognize PS or recognize it very weakly. The main reason for this is that, theoretically, PA protonation states vary between three values (0, –1, –2), whereas PS has only two (0 and –1). PA recognition has been summarized in the hydrogen bond switch model, where the “switch” represents the ability of PA to be present in various protonation states (Kooijman et al., 2007). Modification of the PA head group by esterification effectively inhibits binding of effectors, as it makes the switching mechanism no longer possible (Ghosh & Bell, 1997). The electrostatic/hydrogen bond switch model also explains the protein recognition of PA over lysophosphatidic acid (LPA). The latter has a phosphomonoester head group, similarly to PA; however, instead of two acyl chains there is only one and a hydroxyl group at the glycerol backbone. This difference in the chemical architecture of the LPA molecule makes the hydrogen bond switch irrelevant, as the hydrogen bond formed between the hydroxyl group and phosphate head group disables hydrogen bonding with neighboring molecules (Fig. 3) (Pascher & Sundell, 1985; Kooijman et al., 2005a).

MEMBRANE CURVATURE INDUCED BY PA

PA is generally considered as a lipid that induces negative curvature in lamellar lipid structures, which facilitates membrane fusion and fission in various organelles, e.g. in mitochondria (Choi et al., 2006) and formation of secretory vesicles during cellular trafficking (Huang et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2008). Local enrichment in PA within the membrane may be responsible for the negative curvature formation but also facilitates recruitment of a particular set of proteins to the membrane (Yang & Frohman, 2012).

In general, the shape of lipid molecules is determined by the packing parameter, and it determines the ability of lipids to form distinct lipid aggregates in an aqueous environment (Cullis et al., 1986; Mouritsen, 2011). The packing parameter (P) is equal to v/αl, where v is the molecular volume, α is the polar head group cross sectional area, and l is the length of the molecule. For different lipids, the packing parameter may be equal to, higher or lower than one (Escribá et al., 1997; Mouritsen, 2011; Jouhet, 2013), while for phosphatidic acid the value of this parameter is always higher than one. It means that under physiological conditions it has the shape of a cone, which allows loose packing of PA head groups in the membrane and hence a stronger propensity to create packing defects within the bilayer. This enables relatively easy penetration of the hydrophobic core of the bilayer by PA-recognizing proteins. Moreover, cone-shaped lipids, such as PE and PA (P>1), tend to induce curvature of a negative character, and create structures such as the inverted micelles or inverted hexagonal phase (H₃) (Cullis & de Kruijff 1986; Chernomordik & Kozlov, 2003; Di Paolo & De Camilli, 2006; Zimmerberg & Kozlov, 2006).

Negative curvature induced by PA can be regulated by pH, temperature and salt concentrations (Kooijman et al., 2005b). Ion concentration and pH influence the actual size of the PA head group. Because the PA head group is negatively charged, its cross sectional area is apparently bigger due to the electrostatic repulsion (e.g. at higher PA concentration or when other negatively charged phospholipids are present in the membrane environment). This effect can be modulated by monovalent and divalent cations or by variations in pH which change ionization of the PA head groups (Träuble & Eibl, 1974; Cullis & de Kruijff, 1986; Pomorski et al., 2014). While studying the effects of salt concentration, it was found that in pure water PA behaves as if it had a cylindrical shape. Studies at pH 7.0 and physiological salt concentration (150 mM of NaCl) proved that the PA-enriched monolayer has a negative spontaneous curvature under these conditions. Remarkably, further increase in salt concentration did not enhance this effect. Such results were obtained for di-oleoyl PA/di-oleoyl PE (DOPA/DOPE) mixed lipid monolayers, with DOPA concentration ranging from 0 to 35 mol%. It has been suggested that this effect correlates with decreased repulsion between head groups, as electrolytes reduce it, resulting in smaller effective size of the lipid head groups (Kooijman et al., 2005b). In another set of experiments, a supported lipid bilayer made of DOPC/DOPA/NBD-PC was examined in buffers containing 250 mM KCl (50 mM MES, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 5) (Cambreia & Hovis, 2007). When the ionic strength of the buffer above the support bilayer (distally to the support) was lowered (50 mM KCl), the bilayer tended to bend away from the glass slide, and this effect was observed only for a narrow range of PA concentrations (11–20 mol%). When PA was exchanged for PG, which is also a negatively charged lipid, the bilayer did not bend away from the support. This shows that besides specific ionic conditions, a particular concentration of PA in the bilayer is also essential for curvature generation (Cambreia & Hovis, 2007).

Not only monovalent ions influence the ability of PA to generate curvature. Several studies have shown that the negative curvature generated by PA is more pronounced at low pH and in the presence of divalent cations (Verkleij et al., 1982; Farren et al., 1983; Kooijman et al., 2003). Early investigation of DOPA aggregation at
different pH values showed that the lipid at pH higher than or equal to 6.0 prefers lamellar organization (Farren et al., 1983). Much attention was also given to investigate the influence of divalent ions on structural and thermotropic properties of PA (van Dijck et al., 1978; Farren et al., 1983; Kouaouci et al., 1985). The requirement of calcium ions for the formation of non-lamellar structures by this lipid may be related to the fact that these ions usually play an important role in endo- and exocytosis (Yao et al., 2009; Yamashita et al., 2010). Introducing Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ (Me$^{2+}$/DOPA molar ratio = 0.5) at pH up to 6.0 caused formation of structures in the H$_{II}$ phase (Farren et al., 1983). Increasing the level of divalent cations (Me$^{2+}$/DOPA molar ratio = 1.0) induced disorganization of these structures, and formation of an intermediate between the lamellar and H$_{II}$ phases (Farren et al., 1983). At high pH (~11) calcium binds PA in the molar ratio of 1:1, whereas at physiological and acidic pH calcium binds to PA in the molar ratio of 1:2 (Fig. 1) (Boughriet et al., 1988; Takahashi et al., 1995; Kooijman et al., 2003). This effect of Ca$^{2+}$ binding seems to be strongly reliant on the PA head group charge, which arises from its pK$_{a}$. Other studies using differential scanning calorimetry and X-ray diffraction to analyze aggregates composed of two saturated PA species, di-myristoyl PA (DMPA) and di-palmitoyl PA (DPPA), showed that when calcium ions were present (at physiological pH), no gel-to-liquid crystalline phase transition was observed within temperature ranging from 10°C to 80°C (van Dijck et al., 1978; Liao & Prestegard, 1981). On the other hand, each of the two factors (divalent ions and pH fluctuations) separately did not affect the curvature of membranes containing PA, but taking together high divalent cation concentrations and low pH drives PA to such a behavior. Similar results were obtained using $^{31}$P-NMR (Kooijman et al., 2003). When single-lipid systems composed of DOPA were studied at neutral pH, no negative curvature was observed, even in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ (Me$^{2+}$/DOPA molar ratio = 1.0). Remarkably, doubling the concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ or changing the pH to 5.0 (in the absence of divalent cations) gave the same results. In conclusion, in a single lipid bilayer system, DOPA forms a lamellar phase, which is to a large extent independent of pH or divalent ion concentration. However, when alternative conditions were examined (pH 5 and Ca$^{2+}$/DOPA molar ratio = 1.0) a significant change (5–15 mol%) of lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) binding to PA (Putta et al., 2016). Moreover, lipids which support positive membrane curvature seem to significantly lower the protein binding to PA. A gradual increase (5–15 mol%) of lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPC) in the mixture lowered Opi1 binding to PA, whereas LPC at higher concentrations (25 mol%) completely inhibited this binding. A similar effect of decreased PA binding was also observed with two other proteins, which are defined as PA-binding partners: ECA1 and the PH2 domain of PKD1 protein, which suggests that this effect is more common (Putta et al., 2016).

### Concluding Remarks

The unique physicochemical properties of PA, which are manifested by modulatory effects on the lipid bilayer and specific recruitment of proteins, allow it to play multiple specific roles in cellular physiology. For example, PA is essential for membrane sculpting processes and numerous signaling pathways. Discrete fluctuations in the environment of PA molecules can affect their protonation state, which drastically changes their interactions with protein partners. Although much is known about the nature of PA, its full characteristics are still unknown.

Major questions concern the exact mechanisms of binding with its protein effectors and whether there are some common features of PA binding domains that distinguish them from other lipid-binding domains. Another intriguing question is how divalent ions affect protein binding to PA and whether this mechanism is...
common for all of these ions. Namely, are these effects related to signaling processes that involve PA and where Ca" or Mg" ions usually play a pivotal role, contrary to e.g. Mg++ ions? These and many other issues still await exploration, making PA one of the most interesting membrane lipids.
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