Expression of GPD1 and SIP18 genes during rehydration in active dry industrial Saccharomyces cerevisiae cider-making yeast strains (ADY)
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In this study we determined the influence of different sugar concentration in media, time of rehydration and type of strain on relative expression level of GPD1 and SIP18 genes of active dry cider-making yeast strains, followed by the assessment of the impact of rehydration on the fermentation process. High expression of SIP18 at the beginning of rehydration was shown to be due to high transcription of the gene during the drying process. High sugar concentrations of media initiated transcription of the GPD1 gene and triggered the cellular glycerol biosynthesis pathway in examined strains. Rehydration time and type of strain showed to have no statistically significant impact on the course of the fermentation; RT qPCR results depended mainly on the time of rehydration and sugar concentration of the medium. This is the first attempt to confront rehydration time and molecular mechanisms acting upon rehydration with the course of the fermentation process.
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INTRODUCTION

Very early in the development of human civilization yeast was found to be the basis for preparation of alcoholic beverages. The main metabolic process occurring in winemaking is alcoholic fermentation consisting of biotransformation of glucose and fructose contained in the fruit must into ethanol and CO₂. Other compounds, such as higher alcohols, esters, glycerol, diacetyl, acetoin, are also formed in the process. Fermentation may occur spontaneously, as discovered by Pasteur in the 19th century, because of the presence of yeasts dwelling on the surface of vineyards, grapes and wineries (Rosini, 1984; Pretorius, 2000; Mecado & Combina, 2010; Mecado et al., 2011), or by means of addition of pure yeast starter cultures to the must (Valero et al., 2007; Chambers & Pretorius, 2010).

Introduction of active dry yeasts in the wine-making process in the 1970s led to a significant increase in the share of the products on the fermentation market and decreased the usage of other forms of pure yeast cultures. ADYs are also used as an alternative to the traditional starter cultures in the form of suspensions or compressed yeast mass also in other branches of fermentation industry, such as baking or brewing industry (Lee, 2015). This form of yeast ensures rapid and reliable fermentation and reduces the risk of sluggish or stuck fermentation and of microbial contamination (Valero et al., 2007).

The manufacturers of ADY products recommend preliminary hydration of yeasts by means of preparing suspensions in water or sugar-containing media at the temperature of 35–40°C and over different times (Kraus et al., 1981; Peña et al., 1992; Soubeynrand et al., 2006). Regeneration of yeast cells during rehydration was shown to be of key importance due to the triggering of the processes of metabolism and adaptation to the environment so that fermentation could be effectively started by Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (Novo et al., 2007). ADY cells through the production, rehydration and fermentation process undergo a “stressful journey” which leads to numerous metabolic modifications (Bauwet, 1998; Fu & Chen, 2011; Pénicaud et al., 2014). During this process yeast biomass is multiplied in aerobic conditions, which results in increased cell damage due to the activity of free radicals (Gomez-Pastor et al., 2010). At the end of the drying stage, stress response is also generated by the lack of nutrients (Cerrutti et al., 2000). Changes in cell membrane permeability occur upon drying and subsequent rehydration while the changes in water saturation induce the osmotic stress. Next, during vinification, yeasts ferment the ingredients of must that are completely different from the synthetic growth media and characterized by high concentration of sugars and other ingredients as well as low availability of oxygen. Due to such changes in their environment, cells adjust their metabolism in order to survive and take up other functions (Mannazzu et al., 2008; Diaz-Hellin et al., 2014).

Several factors affect the viability of cells during rehydration, including the preceding drying procedure, intracellular trehalose level in dried cells, duration and temperature of rehydration and the pHe of the rehydration medium (Sales et al., 2000; Soubeynrand et al., 2006; Ferrarini et al., 2007). These factors affect the structure of the cellular wall determining its permeability for metabolites and ions and thus the cells’ viability and activity. On
the other hand, cells undergoing rehydration are capable of molecular-level responses, triggering and switching gene expression depending on the environmental conditions and presence of assimilated sugars. Therefore, a number of combinations of reaction conditions, strains and media are being tested for suitability in the rehydration phase with regard to increasing the cell viability and activity during vinification (Pérez-Torrado et al., 2002; Vaudano et al., 2010; Díaz-Hellín et al., 2014; Gamero-Sandemir et al., 2014). To date, little information has been available on fermentation yields following inoculation of medium different than grape with rehydrated cells under industrial conditions. Also, previous analyses carried out by other research groups showed differences in fermentative behavior and gene expression between wine yeasts.

The transfer of ADY to a new medium leads to an increase in the transcription of genes related to protein synthesis (Gasch et al., 2000). Upon inoculation and induction of fermentation cells obtain a resistance to osmotic stress, which is the key factor regulating the cell survival (Hohmann, 2002; Mager & Sideleus, 2002). The response of *S. cerevisiae* to the loss of water and high concentration of sugars in the environment is based particularly on the well-described high osmolarity glycerol (HOG) pathway, which is regulated by the expression of more than 100 genes (Posas, 1998; Rodriguez-Peña et al., 2013). Increased intracellular levels of glycerol reduce the activity of water in cytosol thus leading to reduced outflow of water into the environment. Another gene of interest in this study was GPD1 encoding the hydrophilin family of proteins (Singh et al., 2005; Rodriguez-Porrata et al., 2011; Rodriguez-Porrata et al., 2012). Hydrophilins act as antioxidants and stabilizers of cellular membrane and other proteins thus playing a key role in survival under significant water loss conditions (Tunnaciffe & Wise, 2007; Rodriguez-Porrata et al., 2012). So far, molecular mechanism triggered in the process as well as the effect of medium’s concentration and composition on the course of fermentation have still remained poorly understood.

This study analyzed the expression of *GPD1* and *SIP18* genes involved in the response of dehydrated cells of industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast cells during the first 30 minutes of the rehydration process. In the experiment different sugar concentrations, time of rehydration and strains were taken into account as the key factors of this response. This study was a continuation of research on brewers, wine-, cider- and mead-making yeasts and their dried formulations carried out at micro technical scale in recent years (Misiewicz & Ilnicka-Olejniczak, 1996; Misiewicz et al., 2015).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Yeast strains, media and fermentation conditions

The study material consisted of two selected cider-making *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains – KKP 3 and KKP 39 from the Culture Collection of Industrial Microorganisms of the prof. Wacław Dąbrowski Institute of Agricultural and Food Biotechnology (http://www.ibprs.pl/bazy/cim/, 05.2015). The strains were initially isolated from the skin of apple fruit used afterwards for production of cider. These particular strains were selected because they offer dependability under difficult wine-making conditions and have a high fermentation rate tested in our previous studies (Misiewicz & Ilnicka-Olejniczak, 1991; Ilnicka-Olejniczak et al., 1996). ADY were made locally, at laboratory scale for the experiment purpose, in lyophilized form. Each sample contained about $3 \times 10^6$ cfu which was confirmed according to PN-ISO 21527:2009. Apple musts were obtained from apples harvested from Tarczyn and Nasielsk area and purchased from Binder International (Warsaw, Poland). Apple juice (212 ml \text{l}^{-1}) was diluted with fresh water and then sucrose was added to obtain required sugar concentration. Additionally, citric acid (up to 4.5 g \text{l}^{-1}), ammonium sulphate (up to 4.2 g \text{l}^{-1}) and ammonium phosphate (up to 1 g \text{l}^{-1}) were added and then the mixture was pasteurized for 15 min at 104°C. Composition of the media is presented in Table 1.

Samples of $3 \times 10^6$ cfu of dried yeast formulations were rehydrated with 1 ml of medium heated to 40°C. The suspension was vortexed for 10 s and incubated for 0 (workup immediately following the initial vortexing step), 5, 15 and 30 minutes at the same temperature. After incubation, the suspension was vortexed once again (5 s) and frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analyses. Fermentation trials were carried out in 500 ml flat-bottom flasks stopped with fermentation tubes, containing 250 ml of apple must, at the temperature of 27°C, for 33 days. The flasks were then inoculated with the previously hydrated yeast formulation. The weight loss associated with the liberation of CO$_2$ was measured daily. After fermentation, yeast was removed by filtration and filtrate was used for further analyses. All the assays were performed in triplicate.

### Fermentation products analysis

Ciders were distilled and afterwards the ethanol percentage, volatile acidity, total extract were determined according to validated standard methods PN-90 A-79120/04, PN-90 A-79120/08, PN-90 A-79120/07, PN-90 A-79120/05, respectively. Reducing sugars were measured according to Miller (1959).

### RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

RNA extraction was performed using a commercially available kit (PureLink RNA Mini Kit, Ambion, Life Technologies). The yeast lysis was performed using mechanical methods: rehydrated yeast suspension was mashed with a pestle to release the intracellular lysate. Next, 500 µl of lysis buffer with 2-mercaptoethanol (10 µl ml$^{-1}$) was added to each sample and manufacturer’s procedure was followed. Genomic DNA was eliminated from the samples by DNase treatment according to the manufacturer's description (RNase Free DNase Set, Qiagen). RNA quality and concentration were assessed spectrophotometrically and by electrophoresis in 1% agarose. The RNA samples were preserved at 80°C.

---

### Table 1. Rehydration media used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rehydration media</th>
<th>Apple juice (ml l$^{-1}$ water)</th>
<th>Final sucrose content (g l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ammonium sulphate (g l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ammonium phosphate (g l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Citric acid (g l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>apple must</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cDNA was synthesized from 50 ng µl⁻¹ of total RNA using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) with oligo (dT) priming and then diluted to the required concentration. This was followed by RNase treatment according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA samples were stored at 80°C.

**Primers.** Primers used in this study were designed previously tested on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel to verify its specificity. Table 2 shows the sequence of primers used in this study, the respective amplicon sizes, efficiency of the RT qPCR reactions and corresponding references.

**Analysis of the reference genes.** The gene used as the reference for the comparison of expression in various rehydration conditions was selected on the basis of its stability in tested samples. The most reliable (in statistical terms) reference gene for the assessment of relative gene expression was selected using the BestKeeper 1.0 software that analyzed the standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variance (CV) for replicate Cq values of the reference genes in various yeast strains and rehydration media (Pfafl et al., 2004).

**RT qPCR.** RT qPCR analysis was performed in a Rotor Gene 6000 instrument (Qiagen) using the double-stranded-DNA-specific fluorochrome SYBR Green. Reactions were performed in a volume of 25 µl containing 1 µl of cDNA, 0.6 µl (10 µM) of forward and reverse primers and 12.5 µl of 2×SYBR Green master mix (Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Primining temperature and RT qPCR programs were determined on the basis of temperature gradient tests. The reactions were run for 40 cycles with denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 59°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 15 s. An initial 10 min denaturation step at 95°C was used. In addition, product melting was assessed at the end of the reaction so as to verify the reaction specificity (Valasek & Repa, 2005). Reactions conducted on the test samples provided Cq values that described the formation of the product upon the assumption of a constant actual reaction yield. The threshold line was determined automatically by the software and the Cq values were uploaded to an Excel file for analysis using the double delta method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). All experiments were performed with negative control.

**Statistical analysis.** All determinations and experiments were performed in triplicate and the results presented are the average values of three determinations. The Statistica version 10 (StatSoft Poland, part of StatSoft Inc.) software was used to statistically evaluate experimental data. The ANOVA multifactor analysis of variance and LSD test (α=0.05) were performed to evaluate the significance of variation in expression and metabolite synthesis for different media, time of rehydration and strains. The fulfillment of the ANOVA requirement of homogeneity of variances was evaluated by means of the Shapiro-Wilks test (n<50). Statistical data for fermentation parameters were arranged according to Szterk (2015).

### RESULTS

#### Fermentation trial

In order to assess the effect of rehydration time on the course of fermentation carried out by tested strains, CO₂ emission levels were monitored together with fermentation process parameters such as ethanol concentration, volatile acidity, titratable acidity, total extract, or reducing sugars concentration.

As shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the loss of CO₂ following rehydration of cider-making yeast strains KKP 3 and KKP 39 was similar and depended on the sugar content in medium. The loss of CO₂ in strains rehydrated and fermented at concentrations of 210 g l⁻¹ was higher than in the medium with sugar concentration of 300 g l⁻¹ and ranged from 12.23 g to 19.20 g for strain KKP 3. In the medium with sugar concentration of 300 g l⁻¹ the loss of CO₂ for KKP 3 ranged from 13.75 g to 15.70 g with the exception for sample 300/T15 where it reached 24.73. Similar observations were made for strain KKP 39 containing sugar at regular industrial level, where the parameter ranged from 12.05 g to 15.70 g. In case of fermentation using rehydrated yeast cells for KKP 39 strain with sugar concentration of 300 g l⁻¹ the reached level of CO₂ production was lower than in other samples and ranged from 10.88 to 13.20 g.

Analysis of young ciders obtained with fermentation of strains in different time of rehydration and sugar concentration was presented in Table 3.

The ethanol level was found to depend mainly on the amount of sugar in the medium (p<0.01). Selection of the strains (KKP 3 or 39) and initial rehydration time (0, 5, 15 and 30) affected the results to a minor extent (p<0.05). The highest quantity of ethanol was produced by strain KKP 39 after 5 minutes of preliminary rehydration in the medium with the sugar content of 210 g l⁻¹; the ethanol concentration reached 15.2%.

The volatile acidity values depended on all factors; mainly medium and strain (p<0.01), still statistically sig-
Figure 1. CO2 production curves for strain KKP 3.
The figure shows the profile of strain rehydrated with medium: (●) 210 g l\(^{-1}\) at time “0” (T0); (▲) 210 g l\(^{-1}\) after 5 minutes (T5); (▲) 210 g l\(^{-1}\) after 15 minutes (T15); (■) 210 g l\(^{-1}\) after 30 minutes (T30); (●) 300 g l\(^{-1}\) at time “0” (T0); (△) 300 g l\(^{-1}\) after 5 minutes (T5); (■) 300 g l\(^{-1}\) after 15 minutes (T15); (○) 300 g l\(^{-1}\) after 30 minutes (T30). Standard deviation was less than 5% in all cases.

Significant (p<0.05) but less important was the time of rehydration. The highest levels of volatile acidity, of 1.28–1.43, were found for the strain KKP 39 in the must containing sugar at concentration of 210 g l\(^{-1}\). Similar values were observed in fermentation trials with strain KKP 3 in the high-sugar media. The lowest levels were obtained for all strains at sugar concentration of 210 g l\(^{-1}\).

No statistical differences were observed in the titratable acidity for all tested strains. The only differences could be found in relation to the medium and time of rehydration (p<0.03). Increase of this parameter was observed during fermentation carried out using the KKP 3 strain at must sugar level of 210 g l\(^{-1}\) without rehydration and after 5 minutes of rehydration; the measured values were 9.90 and 10.29 g l\(^{-1}\) of tartaric acid, respectively.

Table 3. Analysis of ciders obtained from fermentation where strains were rehydrated in medium for different times and then used to inoculate apple must. Different letters next to the values represent significant differences at α = 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Time of rehydration (min)</th>
<th>Sugar concentration (g l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ethanol (% vol)</th>
<th>Volatile acidity (g l(^{-1}) acetic acid)</th>
<th>Titratable acidity (g l(^{-1}) tartaric acid)</th>
<th>Total extract (g l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Reducing sugars (g l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh apple must</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.01</td>
<td>208.40 ± 0.22</td>
<td>220.50 ± 1.60</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>395.30 ± 0.50</td>
<td>310.60 ± 2.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKP 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.67±0.16</td>
<td>0.61±0.03</td>
<td>9.90±0.36</td>
<td>57.15±0.83</td>
<td>12.26±0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.80±0.14</td>
<td>0.74±0.03</td>
<td>10.29±0.45</td>
<td>82.39±0.03</td>
<td>13.67±0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.07±0.83</td>
<td>0.47±0.04</td>
<td>7.76±0.11</td>
<td>69.67±0.23</td>
<td>11.79±0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKP 39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.40±0.09</td>
<td>1.37±0.09</td>
<td>8.01±0.08</td>
<td>193.37±0.46</td>
<td>126.56±6.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.67±0.08</td>
<td>0.74±0.08</td>
<td>7.90±0.11</td>
<td>200.68±0.53</td>
<td>119.77±3.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.00±0.09</td>
<td>0.74±0.04</td>
<td>7.55±0.14</td>
<td>178.71±8.26</td>
<td>128.02±0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.93±0.11</td>
<td>0.53±0.06</td>
<td>8.09±0.21</td>
<td>207.19±37.19</td>
<td>124.89±4.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKP 39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.60±0.69</td>
<td>0.63±0.03</td>
<td>8.68±0.27</td>
<td>76.76±9.75</td>
<td>23.38±1.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.20±0.16</td>
<td>0.61±0.02</td>
<td>8.40±0.26</td>
<td>65.55±14.58</td>
<td>20.79±0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.54±0.23</td>
<td>8.65±0.41</td>
<td>75.35±8.79</td>
<td>20.80±0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.73±0.46</td>
<td>0.75±0.03</td>
<td>8.75±0.38</td>
<td>73.97±14.58</td>
<td>20.94±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKP 39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.67±1.22</td>
<td>1.37±0.09</td>
<td>8.75±0.21</td>
<td>197.61±9.24</td>
<td>120.54±2.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.00±0.40</td>
<td>1.16±0.24</td>
<td>8.88±0.06</td>
<td>222.47±10.88</td>
<td>122.51±2.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.20±0.40</td>
<td>1.43±0.08</td>
<td>8.65±0.04</td>
<td>235.05±9.48</td>
<td>123.06±1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.73±0.23</td>
<td>1.28±0.06</td>
<td>8.70±0.08</td>
<td>219.32±5.42</td>
<td>120.07±0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection of reference genes

We tested the transcriptional variability of three genes, previously reported in literature as potential reference genes: LSC2 (coding beta subunit of succinyl-CoA ligase), QCR9 (coding subunit 9 of the ubiquinol cytochrome-c reductase complex) (Vaudano et al., 2010) and TEF_Q (coding translation elongation factor 1α) (Nardi et al., 2010). SD (± Cq) and CV (% Cq) values were calculated for each of the candidate reference genes in the samples so as to identify the overall stability of gene expression. One candidate reference gene LSC2 showed an SD value of 1.52 (higher than 1), which disqualified its utility as a reference gene. The remaining two genes (QCR9 and TEF_Q) were selected for further analysis as they showed SD value of less than 1. The TEF_Q gene was chosen as reference for gene expression studies, as the CV value for all replicates was 0.45; which was close to 0 and lower than the value of 0.85 obtained in the case of QCR gene. The parameters of the analyses were presented in Table 4.

Expression of glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene GDP1

GPD1 gene codes NAD-dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase which is a key enzyme in the synthesis of glycerol, the main osmolyte accumulated by yeast cells. Its expression is regulated by high-osmolarity glycerol response pathway (HOG) (Bauer & Pretorius, 2000). The intracellular glycerol levels increased by the expression of the gene are thought to counteract water loss by diminishing the water activity of the cytosol, thereby leading to water uptake (Albertyn et al., 1994).

As shown by the results, the expression of the gene in the rehydrated KKP 3 formulation increased significantly with the duration of the experiment (<0.01). In the medium with elevated sugar concentration the highest mRNA level of 7.56 was observed after 30 minutes of rehydration. A lower level of up to 3.79 was observed after 15 minutes of rehydration for the other cider-making strain, KKP 39. Similar expression was maintained after 30 minutes of rehydration. In addition, the experiment revealed that the medium containing the optimum sugar concentration also stimulated the expression of GPD1 gene in the KKP 3 strain after 30 minutes of rehydration (<0.01). The gene GPD1, despite being the most transcribed during the first phase of rehydration, showed a weak variation among examined strains (<0.05). All the expression data are shown in Fig. 3.

Expression of the hydrophilin gene SIP18

This gene codes phospholipid-binding hydrophilin which is es-
sential for overcoming the desiccation-rehydration process. Research showed that product of SIP18 gene acts as an inhibitor of apoptosis in yeast under dehydration stress, which was suggested by its antioxidative capacity through the reduction of ROS accumulation after \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) attack (Rodríguez-Porrata et al., 2010).

The experiment revealed that the expression of SIP18 gene was characterized by a significant decrease with the time of rehydration \((p<0.01)\). High levels of mRNA were maintained over several minutes at the beginning of the experiment to begin dropping rapidly after that time. The baseline expression level was quite high (up to 3.5) and the subsequent drop was marked. The largest differences in the expression of SIP18 gene in cider-making strains were observed in the case of strain KKP 39 rehydrated with a medium with sugar concentration of 300 g l\(^{-1}\): the gene expression dropped more than two times from the starting level of 2.77 within the first 5 minutes and continued with a slight decreasing trend afterwards \((p<0.01)\). The results are presented in Fig. 4.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of experiments presented in this study illustrate the phenomena occurring during the initial phase of rehydration of industrial active dried cider-making yeast strains (ADY) in the context of expression of the important genes GPD1 and SIP18 responsible for the cells response to osmotic stress. Currently, a small number of published papers focus on the topic of transcription mechanism during fermentation of different from grape must or drying processes. Only single manuscripts were published in recent years to describe the relative mRNA levels of the genes analyzed by means of RT qPCR or microarrays upon rehydration of strains (Novo et al., 2007; Vaudano et al., 2009; Vaudano et al., 2010).

In this study, yeast cells were rehydrated using a natural medium with addition of high levels of sugars; rehydration was followed by fermentation trials, with assessment of parameters such as loss of \( \text{CO}_2 \), titratable and volatile acidity, concentration of sugars, total extract, or alcohol concentration providing a clear general overview of the fermentation process with the use of the active \( \text{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} \) strains. The characteristics of the process were similar and uniform regardless of the time of rehydration. Irrespective of the final quantities of metabolites obtained in this process, key differences were observed at the genetic level at the initial stage of rehydration, as the results of RT qPCR analysis of the tested genes GPD1 and SIP18 showed differences in the expression of these genes in variable rehydration media.

As shown in results, the fermentation rate of young ciders was closely associated with ethanol production and sugar consumption. Statistically minor differences in fermentation parameters due to the time of rehydration of inoculated cells were probably caused by adaptation to the environments of higher osmolarity not only during rehydration but also in the fermentation flask. The change consists of induction of the HOG pathway and transduction of the signal responsible for glycerol biosynthesis. The most interesting fact was that yeast cells immediately adapted to changes during rehydration, particularly to the content of hexose sugars in the medium. This was best illustrated in the case of GPD1 gene, with its expression levels increasing statistically significantly as early as after 5 minutes of rehydration in a medium with elevated \(-300 \text{ g l}^{-1}\) concentration of sugar. The effect was more profound at further time points.

The research group of Vaudano (2009) used the RT qPCR method to study the expression of MEP1, MEP2 and MEP3 genes responsible for nitrogen transport as well as the GPD1 and SIP18 genes as tested in this study in dried yeast cells upon rehydration. The laboratory media consisted of water containing 5% sucrose, 6.0 mM ammonium ions and combination of the latter. In the context of the expression of genes tested in this study, the authors demonstrated small differences present at very low levels in the transcription of the GPD1 gene regardless of rehydration time. Examining the same genes demonstrated that GPD1 is highly expressed during the drying stage and high transcriptional level may be maintained at the early phase of rehydration. Rossignol et al. (2006) were not able to demonstrate an increase in the expression of this gene in the first hour of rehydration; according to the authors, the expression of the gene was dropping. However, statistically significant increase was observed in the sixth hour of rehydration, possibly suggesting that osmotic stress may be transient and the
earlier experiment did not last long enough to make it observable. The obtained result suggest that cells may change their expression profiles. The tested strains are converted from dry state directly into an environment that is not only hydrated, but also contains an elevated sugar concentration. The increased level of glycerol inside the cell leads to a reduced activity of water in cytosol thus reducing the outflow of water into the environment. Glycerol production is, therefore, indeed essential for the growth of yeast cells in periods of reduced water availability. During our studies Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells were rehydrated using media with concentrations of sugars much higher than abovementioned. The differences in the results may be due to the use of a different type of media, industrial rather than laboratory, being significantly different in terms of sugar levels. The higher densities of apple must induce higher stress responses upon hydration and faster adaptation of cells to the final environment. The tested strains prepare for increased production of glycerol which requires the suppression of excess water loss by cells in the current situation. The obtained results do not have to be relevant for the entire population of Saccharomyces cerevisiae due to its heterogeneity; total mRNA screening might not provide a clear indication of the degree of cellular response to osmotic stress.

Similarly to our experiments, the increasing expression of GPDI gene in yeast cells may be confirmed by the results obtained by Perez-Torrado and coworkers (2005) who demonstrated increased mRNA levels in the industrial T73 strain after the first hour of incubation in wine must of elevated sugar concentration. No appropriate time to measure the expression was identified in case of another industrial strain IFI87; the authors suggested that only the final stage of induction was observed after 2.5 hours. The reactions to high levels of sugars may also be strain-specific. Attfield and Kletas (2000) demonstrated that GPDI induction was highly dependent on the test strain selection — sugar levels around 300 g l⁻¹ induced the transcription of the gene in SC13 strain after 30 minutes of the test (with highest levels observed after 60 minutes); in case of the SC9 strain, the highest level was observed after 120 minutes.

Different correlations were observed for the expression of SIP18 gene. It codes proteins which play a role as antioxidants and as membrane and protein stabilizers during water stress (Tunnacliffe & Wise, 2007). The obtained results do not have to be relevant for the entire population of Saccharomyces cerevisiae due to its heterogeneity; total mRNA screening might not provide a clear indication of the degree of cellular response to osmotic stress.

As shown by the results of the experiments, the cell environment has a significant impact on the molecular reactions occurring inside the cells. Water is probably inefficient as rehydration medium, which is an evidence of gene expression being dependent on the presence of carbon within the rehydration medium. The changes in osmolarity of the rehydration medium clearly stimulate the expression of the main gene of the HOG metabolic pathway, i.e. GPDI. As suggested by high mRNA levels of SIP18, the drying process also dramatically affects the cell, markedly modulating its translation apparatus. Differences in the expression levels observed in the experiments may be strain-specific and due to individual characteristics or the origin of the strains.
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