Survival of tunneled hemodialysis catheters after percutaneous placement*
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Background. Tunneled catheters are becoming increasingly used as a permanent dialysis access. Easy way of insertion and good long-term patency make them competitive to fistulas in some groups of patients. Methods. Late complications and survival of 180 tunneled catheters inserted from June 2010 to December 2013 in 171 unselected hemodialysis patients were analyzed. Results. The cumulative time of observation was 2103.5 patient-months and median observation was 9 months (range of 0.5–45 months). Only 19 out of 180 catheters were removed due to complications (12 for infections, 4 due to malfunction and 3 because of mechanical damage). Majority of catheters were removed electively: 27 after maturation of arterio-venous fistula (AVF), 4 after kidney transplant, 5 after transfer to peritoneal dialysis and 3 due to the recovery of renal function. At the end of the observation, 58 catheters were still in use and 64 patients had died with functioning catheter. When censored for elective catheter removal and patient death, 88.2% of catheters survived for 1 year. Catheter survival was significantly better in older patients (over 65 years, in comparison to patients <65 years, p = 0.046). Conclusions. Nearly 90% of all inserted catheters gave reliable dialysis access as long as it was needed. Among them, over 30% of the inserted catheters were in use at the end of the observation period, and over 30% of patients had died with a functioning catheter. The results of tunneled catheters survival are encouraging and they should be taken into consideration during decision-making on vascular access, especially in the older patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) necessitating dialysis is constantly growing. This is due to the rising prevalence of chronic diseases like diabetes and hypertension being the most common causes of renal failure and, importantly, due to the increasing availability of renal replacement therapy in the developing countries. Thus, each year, increasing numbers of patients reach the final stage of a renal disease and start a renal replacement therapy (Kramer et al., 2009). Nearly 70% of all patients on renal replacement therapy are treated by the maintenance hemodialysis (Camins, 2013).

By far, the best vascular access for hemodialysis (HD) is native arterio-venous fistula (AVF), as it has low rate of infection and thrombotic complications. The KDQI (Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative (Vascular Access Work Group, 2006) guidelines set goal that more than 50% of patients should start hemodialysis therapy with matured native fistula. Similarly, less than 10% of prevalent hemodialysis patients should be maintained on central catheters as their permanent dialysis access. However, cross-sectional data from the Dialysis Outcome and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) show that among participating European countries and the US, the percentage of patients using catheters for their chronic dialysis access ranged from 9.9 to 28.2 and was in the rise (Rayner et al., 2004). In the most recent study reporting data from 10 national European registries (Noordzij et al., 2014), the percentage of patients starting dialysis with tunneled hemodialysis catheter (THC) increased from 58 to 68, between the years of 2005 and 2009. At the same time, usage of THCs in prevalent patients increased from 28% to 32%.

Despite efforts to increase the use of fistula as dialysis access like the “fistula first” initiative (Lok, 2007), it appears that tunneled catheters are becoming increasingly used as a provisional or permanent dialysis access. In some cases, use of the catheter may be regarded as the best, or indeed, the only way to continue dialysis. The number of such patients is rising with aging populations starting dialysis and being dialyzed for longer time, which in some patients inevitably leads to mounting of vascular access complications and increasing difficulties in new fistula creation. Many patients come to nephrologists with advanced uremia and need urgent start of dialysis with no time to create and develop AVF. In such patients, tunneled catheter serves as a “bridge” to a more permanent dialysis access. Importantly, in some countries, fistula creation may be a subject to logistic or financial limitations, which may jeopardize access to vascular surgery (Sampathkumar et al., 2011).
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PATIENTS AND METHODS

We have analyzed complications and survival of tunneled catheters inserted in the Department of Nephrology, Transplantology and Internal Medicine from June 2010 to December 2013. The observation was ended in June 2014. 186 catheters were inserted in 177 unselected patients treated in our dialysis unit and in 13 other dialysis units in the northern Poland.

Right internal jugular vein (IJV) was the preferred vein. If its cannulation was not possible due to skin infection at this site, thrombosis or lack of visible right IJV on ultrasound, left IJV was used for cannulation. Femoral and subclavian veins were used as the next options. In general, 19 or 23 cm catheters (cuff to tip length) were used for right IJV and 23 or 27 cm for left IJV.

Insertions were performed in the treatment room with no immediate fluoroscopy control or in the coronary angiography suite. Before the insertion, the jugular vein was identified by ultrasound and its localization was marked on the skin. Low, lateral access for both right and left internal jugular vein (IJV) was preferred. Needle was inserted 2–3 cm above the clavicle, behind the clavicular head of the sternoeleidomastoid muscle (MOS) and directed towards the sternal notch and below the muscle. If this approach was unsuccessful, the standard median approach through the middle of the MOS triangle was used. Insertion was performed under local anesthesia with 1% lignocaine. Catheter insertion was performed with standard Seldinger technique with the use of the peel-away sleeve. After insertion, catheter function was checked with the 10 cc syringe and heparin was instilled into both arms (5000 IU/ml). Small wound at catheter insertion site was sutured and covered with dressing, as was the exit site. Chest A–P and lateral x-rays were taken to assess possible complications and catheter position. Hemodialysis was usually postponed until the next day to avoid bleeding related to general heparinization. No routine antibiotic prophylaxis was used for insertion procedure. All insertions were performed by the same team of nephrologists.

Catheter insertion was considered as primary when it was the first vascular access in a patient starting hemodialysis (with the exception for one temporary catheter inserted previously). Secondary insertions were performed in patients who lost function of their existing vascular access (both AVF and catheters) and in patients starting dialysis with the failing grafts.

The blood cultures were taken from the catheter and peripheral vein only if clinical or laboratory symptoms of possible infection (fever, purulent discharge or skin changes around the catheter exit site, increased C reactive protein or procalcitonin) were present. The number of blood drawings was different, depending on the clinical situation of a given patient, cultured under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

Catheter related sepsis was defined as a simultaneous occurrence of clinical signs and positive blood culture from the catheter and/or from the peripheral vein.

The presence of catheter related sepsis did not cause the automatic removal of the catheter. In each case, the decision to remove the catheter was taken individually, taking into consideration the clinical situation of the patient and severity of the infection. The removal of the catheter was more likely with the relapsing infections.

Statistical analysis. Variables with normal distribution were compared between groups using Student’s t test and Mann-Whitney test was used for variables with-
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catheter related sepsis and tunnel or exit site infection. Data on catheter outcome are presented in Table 2.

When censored for death with functioning catheter, 94.2% tunneled THC survived 3 months, 92.0% — 6 months and 88.2%, 85.6% and 81.1% survived 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively (Fig. 1A). The longest observed catheter survival was 45 months. We did not observe differences in catheter survival with respect to sex, catheter type or, interestingly, type of insertion (primary vs. secondary). Diabetes was not a risk factor for catheter loss.

During the observation, 124 patients had no positive blood culture and no symptoms of catheter infection have been recorded. In total, 90 positive blood cultures have been documented in 56 patients. These patients had a significantly greater chance for catheter loss in comparison to patients without infection (Fig 1B). The majority of cultured species (73 isolates) were Gram (+) cocci — (54 S. epidermidis, 14 S. aureus and 5 Enterococci). 17 Gram negative bacteria species were cultured (12 Enterobacteriaceae, 2 Acinetobacter sp. and 3 Pseudomonas sp.). The mean infection rate was 1.42/1000 catheter days or 0.5 episode/year.

There were no differences in survival between catheters introduced through the right or left internal jugular veins, but catheters inserted into jugular veins had a significantly better survival in comparison to the ones in femoral veins (p = 0.024) (Fig. 1C).

Catheter survival was significantly better in older patients. Patients over 65 years old had marginal, but significant superior catheter survival when compared to younger patients (Fig. 1D). As 65 years was the median age in our study group, both age subgroups had a similar number of patients.

DISCUSSION

It is widely accepted that AVF or graft are the best accesses for hemodialysis, and tunneled catheters are
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Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves after censoring for death with functioning catheter, elective catheter removal and catheters functioning at the end of the study.

(A) Overall THCs survival. (B) Significantly inferior survival of THCs in patients with at least one positive blood culture obtained during study (p < 0.001, log rank test). (C) Significantly inferior survival of THCs inserted into femoral veins (n = 11) in comparison to catheters in jugular veins (n = 155) (p = 0.024, log rank test). (D) Superior survival of THCs in elderly patients (over 65 years) in comparison to younger patients (p = 0.046, log rank test).
universally regarded as the less optimal option of vascular access for HD. High rate of catheter related infection or thrombosis results not only in unsatisfactory catheter survival but also in increased mortality of HD patients dialyzed through THC. The superiority of AVF over other forms of dialysis accesses has been supported by numerous recommendations and guidelines’ setting bodies: KDOQI (Vascular Access Work Group, 2006), EBPG (European Best Practice Guidelines)(Tordoir et al., 2007), CARI (Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment) (Polkinghorne et al., 2013). While they are widely accepted, it has to be remembered that they are based purely on observational studies, and at least part of the observed differences in catheter survival and mortality could be explained by the case-mix. In this respect, catheters are used predominantly in patients who start dialysis in an unplanned way, often without any previous nephrological care or in the oldest and most comorbid patients, where fistula creation and maturation may be impeded by numerous complications.

Our data present late complications and catheter survival in a large, unselected population of HD patients, inserted by a nephrologist, both as the first access in patients starting dialysis, or with failed previous access. The large number of catheter insertions and a relatively high percentage of catheter insertion in new dialysis patients were, at least in part, due to the problems in functioning of vascular surgery services, which were relatively overloaded and underfunded, and fistula operation, both creation and repair, could not get the desired priority. In our 4-year study, only 10.5% of catheters were removed for complications. The most important reason for catheter removal was catheter related sepsis and the positive blood culture was the most important risk factor for the catheter loss. Catheters were also removed due to malfunction related to thrombosis or mechanical damage, including inadvertent catheter removal by the patient. Importantly, nearly 90% of all inserted catheters served the patients well, being a reliable dialysis access until the creation and maturation of AVF, kidney transplantation, transfer to peritoneal dialysis or recovery of the renal function. Among them, over 30% of inserted catheters were in use at the end of the observation and over 30% of patients had died with a functioning catheter.

Previous studies usually reported similar (Mandolfo et al., 2014) or less favorable catheter survival (Sampathkumar et al., 2011; Little et al., 2001; Ewing et al., 2002; Shingarev et al., 2013). In one of the largest studies reporting outcome of 573 catheters, only 47% of THCs had survived for 1 year. However, the main reason for non-elective removal was catheter non-function (69%) and authors reported the use of lower concentrations of heparin (1000 IU/ml) in their study (Little et al., 2001). Similarly, in another study (Shingarev et al., 2013), where 1000 IU/ml heparin locks were used for anticoagulation, catheter malfunction was also the most common reason for removal, with rather low overall THC survival.

In some other studies (Sampathkumar et al., 2011; Little et al., 2001), similarly to the study presented here, the most important reason for catheter loss was catheter related infection. Importantly, in our report catheter related infection rate was rather low at 1.42 episodes/1000 catheter-days, while the previously reported infection rate was 1.7–5.2 episodes/1000 catheter days (Ewing et al., 2002; Ervo et al., 2001). This finding may explain a very good survival rates observed in our study. Nevertheless, in the present analysis, catheter related infections remained the main reason for catheter removal and were a significant risk factor for catheter loss. However, it appears that with the implementation of meticulous catheter care and with the use of new antibacterial catheter locks, a substantial progress in this area can be expected (Tan et al., 2014; Weijsmer et al., 2005; Campos et al., 2011; Labriola et al., 2008). One may draw a parallel to the situation observed in peritoneal dialysis where with the perfected line connections, implementation of reliable exchange procedures and improvement in patient training, an impressive reduction in peritonitis rate has been achieved. It could be expected that similar progress will happen, or in fact is observed now, in lowering of infection rate and improved outcome of tunneled hemodialysis catheters.

Importantly, in our study, and also other studies (Canaud et al., 1998; Hernandez-Jaras et al., 2004; Murea et al., 2014; Drew et al., 2014; Claudeanos et al., 2015), THC survival seems to be superior in the older population. This appears to be an especially important finding as fistula creation in older population may be technically more difficult and more often complicated by fistula non-maturation (Lok et al., 2006). A relatively recent large meta-analysis suggested that elderly patients have 70% increased risk of fistula failure at 1 year, in comparison to younger patients (Lazarides et al., 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

In our opinion, the key factor in catheter function and survival is the expertise and dedication of the team performing catheter insertion and rigorous catheter care in the dialysis units. Careful pre-implantation assessment of the access point with the use of ultrasound, proper choice of the catheter length with respect to body size and insertion side, together with the adherence to strict aseptic technique during catheter insertion, care and HD connections seem to be the cornerstones of the success. In the light of the increasing age of dialysis population, the expansion of dialysis in the developing countries where vascular surgery facilities may not be able to serve the growing number of HD patients, and with better outcomes achieved with THCs, we should not discriminate against dialysis catheters, but use them wisely to achieve the best outcomes for the patients.
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